arXiv:1611.05849v1 [math.AG] 17 Nov 2016

CODIMENSION ONE HOLOMORPHIC DISTRIBUTIONS ON $\mathbb{P}^3$

O. CALVO–ANDRADE, M. CORRÊA, AND M. JARDIM

Abstract. In this work we study codimension one holomorphic distributions on $\mathbb{P}^3$. We analyze the properties of their singular schemes and the semistability of their tangent sheaves. As consequence we give a classification of locally free distributions of degree ≤ 2 and we show that codimension one distributions with only isolated singularities have stable tangent sheaves. We describe the moduli spaces of distributions in terms of the Grothendieck's Quot-scheme for tangent bundles. In some cases we show that the moduli spaces of distributions are irreducible, nonsingular quasi-projective varieties and have structure of a fibration by projective spaces over a rational base. Finally, in appendix we prove a Bertini type Theorem for reflexive sheaves to construct distributions and to provide a description of spaces of distributions in terms of moduli spaces of stable reflexive sheaves.

1. Introduction

Techniques from algebraic geometry have been extremely useful in the study of singular holomorphic foliations, see for instance [33, 38, 5, 24, 21, 1, 36, 16]. In particular, Jouanolou classified codimension one foliations on $\mathbb{P}^3$ of degrees 0 and 1 in his monograph [33]; Cerveau and Lins Neto showed in [12] that there exist six irreducible components of foliations of degree 2 on projective spaces; and Polishchuk, motived by the study of holomorphic Poisson structures, also found in [42] a classification of foliations of degree 2 on $\mathbb{P}^3$ under certain hypothesis on the singular set of the foliations.

In this work, we study holomorphic distributions on $\mathbb{P}^3$. We focus on studying properties of their singular schemes, on analyzing the semistability of the tangent sheaf, and on describing certain moduli spaces of distributions of low degree.

More precisely, we provide a complete classification of codimension one distributions of degrees 0 (for the sake of completeness) and 1 in Sections 7 and 8, respectively. We describe all possible singular schemes, and prove that the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution of degree 1 is stable whenever it does not split as a sum of line bundles; in particular, we show that if tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution of degree 1 is locally free, then it splits as a sum of line bundles. Finally, we describe one moduli space of codimension one distributions of degree 0 in Section 11.1, and one moduli space of codimension one distributions of degree 1 in Section 11.2; both are irreducible fibrations by projective spaces over a rational base, nonsingular quasi-projective varieties, of dimensions 5 and 14, respectively.

Regarding codimension one distributions of degree 2, we list all possible values for the second and third Chern classes of the tangent sheaves, see Table 9. In particular, we conclude that the tangent sheaf of such distributions is always μ-semistable.

In addition, we classify codimension one distributions of degree 2 whose tangent sheaf is locally free.

Theorem. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution of degree 2 with locally free tangent sheaf $T_{\mathscr{F}}$, and such that* $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ *reduced. Then:*

(1) *$T_{\mathscr{F}}$ splits as a sum of line bundles and*
   (a) *either $T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)$, and* $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ *is a connected curve of degree 7 and arithmetic genus 5.*
   (b) *or $T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$, and* $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ *is a connected curve of degree 6 and arithmetic genus 3.*

(2) *$T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable, and:*
   (a) *either $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a null-correlation bundle, and* $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ *is a connected curve of degree 5 and arithmetic genus 1; in addition, $\mathscr{F}$ possess subfoliations of degree 2 which are singular along two skew lines;*
   (b) *or $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is an instanton bundle of charge 2, and* $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ *is the disjoint union of a line and a twisted cubic; in addition, $\mathscr{F}$ possess subfoliations of degree 2 which are singular along three skew lines.*

In addition, the result is effective, in the sense that there exist injective morphisms $\phi : T_{\mathscr{F}} \to T\mathbb{P}^3$ with torsion free cokernel for each of the possibilities listed above.

In particular, note that if the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution of degree 2 is locally free, then either it splits as a sum of line bundles, or it is stable. Moduli spaces of codimension one distribution of degree 2 are described in Sections 11.1, 11.4, 11.5, 11.6. In all cases considered, the moduli spaces are irreducible, nonsingular quasi-projective varieties and have structure of a fibration by projective spaces over a rational base.

Another important open problem in the theory of holomorphic foliations is a conjecture due to Cerveau [7]: if $\mathscr{F}$ is a codimension one foliation on $\mathbb{P}^3$, then the pure 1-dimensional component of $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ is connected. This statement is false for non-integrable distributions, since, according to the previous Theorem, there exists a codimension one distribution of degree 2 with locally free tangent sheaf whose singular scheme is not connected. We prove the following cohomological criterion for the connectedness of the singular scheme of codimension one distributions.

Theorem. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution on $\mathbb{P}^3$ of degree $d > 0$ with singular scheme Z. If $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-2-d)) = 0$, then Z is connected and of pure dimension 1, so that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free. Conversely, for $d \neq 2$, if Z is connected, then $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free and $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-2-d)) = 0$.*

In addition, we also explore the relation between connectedness and integrability.

Theorem. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution on $\mathbb{P}^3$ of degree $d > 0$ with locally free tangent sheaf. If* $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ *is smooth and connected, then Then $\mathscr{F}$ is not integrable.*

A key fact in our description of moduli spaces of codimension one distributions of low degree is precisely the stability of the tangent sheaf. The μ-semistability of the tangent sheaf of foliations has also been explored in [1, 36] to show the existence of algebraic, rationally connected leaves via the Miyaoka–Bogomolov–McQuillan Theorem [5, 38].

We prove that the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distributions with only isolated singularities is always stable.

Theorem. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one holomorphic distribution on a smooth weighted projective complete intersection Fano 3-fold X with rank one Picard group. Suppose that* $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ *has dimension zero and $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) < -2$, then $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable. Moreover, if $X = \mathbb{P}^3$ and $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) < 2$ the same holds.*

In addition, we prove that every rational foliation has stable tangent sheaf, see Theorem 10.1 below, and that the tangent sheaf of a logarithmic foliation of degree at most 2 either splits as a sum of line bundles, or it is semistable, see Theorem 10.3. Such observation motivates the following conjecture.

Conjecture. *If the tangent sheaf of a codimension one foliation on $\mathbb{P}^3$ is not split, then it is μ-semistable.*

On the other hand, the conjecture does not hold if one allows for non-integrable distributions. We provide in the Appendix a generalization of Ottaviani's Bertini type Theorem [40, Teorema 2.8] to produce examples of codimension one distributions on $\mathbb{P}^3$; in particular, we exhibit a non-integrable codimension one distribution on $\mathbb{P}^3$ of degree 14 whose tangent sheaf is indecomposable, locally free, and not semistable, see Example 6.2.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Alex Massarenti for interesting conversations. CA was partially supported by the FAPESP grant number 2014/23594-6. MC was partially supported by CNPq, CAPES and the FAPESP grant number 2015/20841-5. MJ was partially supported by the CNPq grant number 303332/2014-0 and the FAPESP grant number 2014/14743-8.

2. Distributions and their moduli spaces

We begin by setting up the notation and nomenclature to be used in the rest of the paper.

2.1. **Distributions and foliations.** A *codimension r distribution* $\mathscr{F}$ on a smooth complex manifold X is given by an exact sequence

$$\mathscr{F}: \ 0 \longrightarrow T_{\mathscr{F}} \xrightarrow{\phi} TX \xrightarrow{\pi} N_{\mathscr{F}} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{1}$$

where $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a coherent sheaf of rank $s := \dim(X) - r$, and $N_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a torsion free sheaf. The sheaves $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ and $N_{\mathscr{F}}$ are called the *tangent* and the *normal* sheaves of $\mathscr{F}$, respectively. Note that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ must be reflexive.

The *singular scheme* of $\mathscr{F}$ is defined as follows. Taking the maximal exterior power of the dual morphism $\phi^\vee : \Omega^1_X \to T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}}$ we obtain a morphism $\Omega^s_X \to \det(T_{\mathscr{F}})^\vee$; the image of such morphism is the ideal sheaf $I_{Z/X}$ of a subscheme $Z \subset X$, the singular scheme of $\mathscr{F}$, twisted by $\det(T_{\mathscr{F}})^\vee$. Note that, as a set, Z coincides with

$$\mathrm{Sing}(N_{\mathscr{F}}) := \bigcup_{p=1}^{\dim(X)-1} \mathrm{supp}\left(\mathcal{E}xt^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{N}_{\mathscr{F}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}})\right).$$

The singular set $\mathrm{Sing}(N_{\mathscr{F}})$ admits the following simple description when the tangent sheaf $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free; in this case $\mathcal{E}xt^p(N_{\mathscr{F}}, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $p \geq 2$, and

$$\mathrm{Sing}(N_{\mathscr{F}}) = \{\ x \in X \mid \phi(x) \ \text{ is not injective } \}.$$

A *sub-distribution* of $\mathscr{F}$ is a distribution

$$\mathscr{G}: \ 0 \longrightarrow T_{\mathscr{G}} \xrightarrow{\phi} TX \to N_{\mathscr{G}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

whose tangent sheaf $T_{\mathscr{G}}$ is a subsheaf of $T_{\mathscr{F}}$. The quotient $N_{\mathscr{G}/\mathscr{F}} := T_{\mathscr{F}}/T\mathscr{G}$ is called the *relative normal sheaf*. In addition, the following exact sequence is satisfied:

$$0 \to N_{\mathscr{G}/\mathscr{F}} \to N_{\mathscr{G}} \to N_{\mathscr{F}} \to 0. \tag{2}$$

It follows that $N_{\mathscr{G}/\mathscr{F}}$ is also torsion free.

Finally, we introduce the notion of integrability. A *foliation* is an integrable distribution, that is a distribution

$$\mathscr{F}: \ 0 \longrightarrow T_{\mathscr{F}} \xrightarrow{\phi} TX \xrightarrow{\pi} N_{\mathscr{F}} \longrightarrow 0$$

whose tangent sheaf is closed under the Lie bracket of vector fields, i. e. $[\phi(T_{\mathscr{F}}), \phi(T_{\mathscr{F}})] \subset \phi(T_{\mathscr{F}})$. Clearly, every distribution of codimension $\dim(X) - 1$ is integrable. A *sub-foliation* of $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is an integrable sub-distribution.

When $r = 1$, the normal sheaf, being a torsion free sheaf of rank 1, must be the twisted ideal sheaf $I_{Z/X} \otimes \det(TX) \otimes \det(T_{\mathscr{F}})^{\vee}$ of a closed subscheme $Z \subset X$ of codimension at least 2, which is precisely the singular scheme of $\mathscr{F}$. Let $\mathscr{U}$ be the maximal subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{Z/X}$ of codimension > 2, so that one has an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \to \mathscr{U} \to \mathcal{O}_{Z/X} \to \mathcal{O}_{C/X} \to 0 \tag{3}$$

where $C \subset X$ is a (possibly empty) subscheme of pure codimension 2; note that $\mathscr{U}$ is not necessarily the structure sheaf of subscheme of X. It follows that, for each $p \geq 1$ and setting $\mathscr{L} := \det(TX) \otimes \det(T_{\mathscr{F}})^{\vee}$:

$$\mathcal{E}xt^p(T_{\mathscr{F}}, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \mathcal{E}xt^{p+1}(I_{Z/X}, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathscr{L}^{\vee} \simeq \mathcal{E}xt^{p+2}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/X}, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathscr{L}^{\vee} \simeq \mathcal{E}xt^{p+2}(\mathscr{U}, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathscr{L}^{\vee}.$$

As an immediate consequence we obtain the following generalization of [23, Theorem 3.2].

Lemma 2.1. *The tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution is locally free if and only if its singular locus has pure codimension 2.*

Proof. On the one hand, $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free if and only if $\mathcal{E}xt^p(T_{\mathscr{F}}, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for each $p \geq 1$. On the other hand, since $\mathscr{U}$ is a sheaf of codimension at least 3, $\mathcal{E}xt^q(\mathscr{U}, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $q \geq 3$ if and only if $\mathscr{U} = 0$, which is the same as saying that Z has pure codimension 2. □

Remark 2.2. The singular scheme of an integrable distribution on $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ is always nonempty, and has components of codimension 2 [33]. Giraldo and Pan-Collantes proved Lemma 2.1 for the case $X = \mathbb{P}^3$ using a completely different argument, cf. [23, Theorem 3.2]. We also note that Lemma 2.1 implies that the hypothesis of [16, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2] are redundant.

2.2. **The Quot-scheme of TX.** Let us now recall the definition of the Grothendieck's Quot-scheme for the tangent bundle TX and some of its basic properties. We use [30, Section 2.2] as main reference. X now denotes a polarized nonsingular projective variety of dimension n.

Let $\mathfrak{Sch}_{/\mathbb{C}}$ denote the category of schemes of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$, and $\mathfrak{Sets}$ be the category of sets. Fix a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$, and consider the functor

$$\mathcal{Q}uot^P : \mathfrak{Sch}^{\mathrm{op}}_{/\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{Sets} \quad , \quad \mathcal{Q}uot^P(S) := \{(N, \eta)\}/\sim$$

where

(i) N is a coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X\times S}$-modules, flat over S, such that the Hilbert polynomial of $N_s := N|_{X\times\{s\}}$ is equal to P for every $s \in S$;
(ii) $\eta : \pi_X^* TX \to N$ is an epimorphism, where $\pi_X : X \times S \to X$ is the standard projection onto the first factor.

In addition, we say that $(N, \eta) \sim (N', \eta')$ if there exists and isomorphism $\gamma : N \to N'$ such that $\gamma \circ \eta = \eta'$.

Finally, if $f : R \to S$ is a morphism in $\mathfrak{Sch}_{/\mathbb{C}}$, we define $\mathcal{Q}uot^P(f) : \mathcal{Q}uot^P(S) \to \mathcal{Q}uot^P(R)$ by $(N, \eta) \mapsto (f^*N, f^*\eta)$. Elements of the set $\mathcal{Q}uot^P(S)$ will be denoted by $[N, \eta]$.

Theorem 2.3. *The functor $\mathcal{Q}uot^P$ is represented by a projective scheme $\mathcal{Q}^P$ of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$, that is, there exists an isomorphism of functors $\mathcal{Q}uot^P \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, \mathcal{Q}^P)$.*

In what follows, it will be important to consider the following subset of the Quot-scheme:

$$\mathcal{D}^P := \{[N, \eta] \in \mathcal{Q}^{P_{TX}-P} \mid N_s \text{ is torsion free } \forall s \in S\}, \tag{4}$$

where P_{TX} is the Hilbert polynomial of the tangent bundle. Note that, by [30, Proposition 2.3.1], $\mathcal{D}^P$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{Q}^{P_{TX}-P}$.

2.3. **Moduli spaces of distributions.** Fix a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$, and consider the functor

$$\mathcal{D}ist^P : \mathfrak{Sch}^{\mathrm{op}}_{/\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{Sets} \quad , \quad \mathcal{D}ist^P(S) := \{(F, \phi)\}/\sim$$

where

(i) F is a coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X\times S}$-modules, flat over S, such that the Hilbert polynomial of $F_s := F|_{X\times\{s\}}$ is equal to P for every $s \in S$;
(ii) $\phi : F \to \pi_X^* TX$ is a morphism of sheaves such that $\phi_s : F_s \to TX$ is injective, and $\mathrm{coker}\,\phi_s$ is torsion free for every $s \in S$.

In addition, we say that $(F, \phi) \sim (F', \phi')$ if there exists and isomorphism $\beta : F \to F'$ such that $\phi' \circ \beta = \phi'$.

Finally, if $f : R \to S$ is a morphism in $\mathfrak{Sch}_{/\mathbb{C}}$, we define $\mathcal{D}ist^P(f) : \mathcal{D}ist^P(S) \to \mathcal{D}ist^P(R)$ by $(F, \phi) \mapsto (f^*F, f^*\phi)$. Equivalence classes in the set $\mathcal{D}ist^P(S)$ will be denoted by $[F, \phi]$.

Each pair (F, ϕ) should be regarded as a *family of distributions on X*: for each $s \in S$, we have the distribution given by the short exact sequence

$$\mathscr{F}_s \ : \ 0 \to F_s \xrightarrow{\phi_s} TX \xrightarrow{\eta_{\phi_s}} \mathrm{coker}\,\phi_s \to 0,$$

where η_ϕ denotes the canonical projection $\pi_X^* TX \to \mathrm{coker}\,\phi$

Proposition 2.4. *The functor $\mathcal{D}ist^P$ is represented by a quasi-projective scheme $\mathcal{D}^P$ of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$, that is, there exists an isomorphism of functors $\mathcal{D}ist^P \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, \mathcal{D}^P)$.*

Proof. Let S be a scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$, and take $[F, \phi] \in \mathcal{D}ist^P(S)$. It follows that $[\mathrm{coker}\,\phi, \eta_\phi]$ is an element of $\mathcal{Q}uot^{P_{TX}-P}(S)$. Since $\mathcal{Q}uot^{P_{TX}-P}(S) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(S, \mathcal{Q}^{P_{TX}-P})$, let $g_{[F,\phi]} : S \to \mathcal{Q}^{P_{TX}-P}$ be the morphism corresponding to the S-family $[\mathrm{coker}\,\phi, \eta_\phi]$. Note that $\mathrm{im}(g_{[F,\phi]}) \subset \mathcal{D}^P$, since $\mathrm{coker}\,\phi_s$ is torsion free for every $s \in S$, thus actually $g_{[F,\phi]} \in \mathrm{Hom}(S, \mathcal{D}^P)$.

We have therefore obtained a morphism of sets $\mathcal{D}ist^P(S) \to \mathrm{Hom}(S, \mathcal{D}^P)$ for each scheme S; we check that it is bijective. Indeed, we can compose a morphism $g : S \to \mathcal{D}^P$ with the inclusion of $\mathcal{D}^P$ into $\mathcal{Q}^{P_{TX}-P}$ to obtain an element of $\mathrm{Hom}(S, \mathcal{Q}^{P_{TX}-P})$, which corresponds to a S-family $[N, \eta] \in \mathcal{Q}uot^{P_{TX}-P}(S)$; since $g(s) \in \mathcal{D}^P$ for each $s \in S$, it follows that $[\ker\eta, \iota_\eta]$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}ist^P(S)$. Moreover, we also obtained a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}ist^P(S) & \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ } & \mathrm{Hom}(S, \mathcal{D}^P) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{Q}uot^{P_{TX}-P}(S) & \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ } & \mathrm{Hom}(S, \mathcal{Q}^{P_{TX}-P}) \end{array} \tag{5}$$

in which the vertical arrows are injective, and the horizontal arrows are bijective.

To conclude the proof, we must check that the bijection between $\mathcal{D}ist^P(S)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(S, \mathcal{D}^P)$ constructed above underlies a natural transformation between the functors $\mathcal{D}ist^P$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, \mathcal{D}^P)$.

Indeed, the representability of the Quot functor $\mathcal{Q}uot^{P_{TX}-P}$ yields the following commutative diagram, for every morphism $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(R,S)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}uot^{P_{TX}-P}(S) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}(S, \mathcal{Q}^{P_{TX}-P}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \circ f} \\ \mathcal{Q}uot^{P_{TX}-P}(R) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}(R, \mathcal{Q}^{P_{TX}-P}) \end{array} \tag{6}$$

This last diagram together with diagrams of the form (5) for the schemes R and S yields the desired diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}ist^{P}(S) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}(S, \mathcal{D}^{P}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \circ f} \\ \mathcal{Q}uot^{P}(R) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}(R, \mathcal{D}^{P}) \end{array}$$

□

Next, we recall the construction of the Gieseker–Maruyama moduli space of semistable sheaves on X; we use [30, Section 4] as our main reference. Recall that a torsion free sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ on X is said to be (semi)stable if every proper nontrivial subsheaf $\mathscr{F} \subset \mathscr{E}$ satisfies

$$\frac{P_{\mathscr{F}}(t)}{\mathrm{rk}\,\mathscr{F}} (\leq) < \frac{P_{\mathscr{E}}(t)}{\mathrm{rk}\,\mathscr{E}}$$

for t sufficiently large. Consider the functor

$$\mathcal{M}^P : \mathfrak{Sch}^{\mathrm{op}}_{/\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{Sets} \quad , \quad \mathcal{M}^P(S) := \{F\}/\sim$$

where F is a sheaf on $X \times S$ flat over S and such that for each $s \in S$, the sheaf $F_s := F|_{X\times\{s\}}$ is semistable and has Hilbert polynomial equal to P. As it is well known, $\mathcal{M}^P$ admits a course moduli space, here denoted by M^P, which is a projective scheme; this means that the closed points of M^P are bijective with the S-equivalence classes of semistable sheaves on X with fixed Hilbert polynomial P, and that there exists a natural transformation $\mathcal{M}^P \to \mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, M^P)$.

Let $\mathcal{D}ist^{P,ss}$ be the subfunctor of $\mathcal{D}ist^P$ given by

$$\mathcal{D}ist^{P,ss} : \mathfrak{Sch}^{\mathrm{op}}_{/\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{Sets} \quad , \quad \mathcal{D}ist^{P}(S) := \{(F,\phi)\}/\sim$$

where F_s is now assumed to be semistable for each $s \in S$. Clearly, $\mathcal{D}ist^{P,ss} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, \mathcal{D}^{P,ss})$, where

$$\mathcal{D}^{P,ss} := \{[F,\phi] \in \mathcal{D}^P \mid F \text{ is semistable }\};$$

note that $\mathcal{D}^{P,ss}$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{D}^P$. Similarly, we will also consider the following open subset of $\mathcal{D}^P$:

$$\mathcal{D}^{P,st} := \{[F,\phi] \in \mathcal{D}^P \mid F \text{ is stable }\}.$$

Lemma 2.5. *There exists a forgetful morphism*

$$\varpi : \mathcal{D}^{P,ss} \to M^P \quad , \quad \varpi([\mathscr{F}]) := [T_{\mathscr{F}}]$$

whose fibers are the sets of all distributions whose tangent sheaf is isomorphic to a given sheaf:

$$D(\mathscr{E}) := \{\phi \in \mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{E}, TX) \;\mid\; \ker\phi = 0 \text{ and } \mathrm{coker}\,\phi \text{ is torsion free }\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{D}(\mathscr{E})$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{P}\operatorname{Hom}(\mathscr{E}, TX)$. Section 11 below will be dedicated to a precise description of the moduli space of codimension one distributions on $X = \mathbb{P}^3$ for several choices of Hilbert polynomials.

Proof. Consider the following forgetful natural transformation $\Pi : \mathcal{D}ist^{P,ss} \to \mathcal{M}^P$ given by

$$\Pi_S : \mathcal{D}ist^{P,ss}(S) \to \mathcal{M}^P(S) \quad , \quad \varpi_S([F, \phi]) := [F].$$

Composing this with the isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}(\cdot, \mathcal{D}^{P,ss}) \simeq \mathcal{D}ist^{P,ss}$, on one hand, and with the natural transformation $\mathcal{M}^P \to \operatorname{Hom}(\cdot, M^P)$, on the other, we obtain a natural transformation $\Pi : \operatorname{Hom}(\cdot, \mathcal{D}^{P,ss}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\cdot, M^P)$. The desired morphism is given by $\varpi := \Pi_{\mathcal{D}^{P,ss}}(1_{\mathcal{D}^{P,ss}})$. □

Similarly, one can define a moduli functor for foliations on X, assigning to each scheme S the set of S-families of integrable distributions on X whose tangent sheaves have fixed Hilbert Polynomial P. Such a scheme is represented by a closed subscheme $\mathcal{F}^P$ of $\mathcal{D}^P$, or a locally closed subscheme of $Q^{P_{TX}-P}$, cf. [43, Section 6].

3. Codimension one distributions on $\mathbb{P}^3$

From now on, we will only consider codimension one distributions on $X = \mathbb{P}^3$. In this case, the integer $d := 2 - c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) \geq 0$ is called the *degree* of the distribution $\mathscr{F}$, and $N_{\mathscr{F}} = I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2)$ where Z is the singular scheme of $\mathscr{F}$. Therefore, sequence (1) simplifies to

$$\mathscr{F} : \ 0 \longrightarrow T_{\mathscr{F}} \xrightarrow{\phi} T\mathbb{P}^3 \xrightarrow{\pi} I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{7}$$

where $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf.

A codimension one distribution of degree d on $\mathbb{P}^3$ can also be represented by a section $\omega \in H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2))$, given by the dual of the morphism $\pi : T\mathbb{P}^3 \to N_{\mathscr{F}}$. On the other hand, such section yields a sheaf map $\omega : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \to \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2)$; taking duals, we get a cosection $\omega^\vee : \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2))^\vee = T\mathbb{P}^3(-(d+2)) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ whose image is the ideal sheaf $I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}$ of the singular scheme. The kernel of $\omega^\vee$ is the tangent sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ of the distribution twisted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-(d+2))$. From this point of view, the integrability condition is equivalent to $\omega \wedge d\omega = 0$.

The 1-form ω can be written down in homogeneous coordinates

$$\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{3} A_i dz_i, \quad A_i \in H^0\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d+1),$$

where $[z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3]$ homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^3$; in addition, the coefficients A_i must satisfy the condition

$$i_R\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{3} z_i A_i = 0.$$

This information can also be packaged in a complex of sheaves

$$\mathscr{F}_\bullet : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)^{\oplus 4} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2), \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} z_0 \\ z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{\omega} = (A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3) \tag{8}$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathscr{H}^0 = 0 & \\ \mathscr{H}^1 = T_{\mathscr{F}} & \text{tangent sheaf} \equiv \ker(\omega) \\ \mathscr{H}^2 = I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2) & \text{normal sheaf} \equiv \operatorname{coker}(\omega) \end{cases}$$

The complex $\mathscr{F}_\bullet$ provides an alternative definition for codimension one distributions.

Since the Koszul complex associated to R is exact, we also conclude that there exist an antisymmetric polynomial matrix B, whose entries are polynomials of degree d, such that

$$(A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3)^t = B \cdot (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)^t.$$

Therefore, a distribution induces a rational map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^3 & \dashrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \times (\mathbb{P}^3)^* \\ (z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3) & \longmapsto & ((z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3), B \cdot (z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3)^t) \end{array}$$

providing yet another point of view for codimension one distributions on $\mathbb{P}^3$.

Two codimension one distributions $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{F}'$ on $\mathbb{P}^3$ are isomorphic if corresponding sections $\omega, \omega' \in H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2))$ differ by a homothety; it follows that the respective tangent sheaves $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ and $T'_{\mathscr{F}}$ are isomorphic. In other words, an isomorphism class of distributions amounts to a class of sections $[\omega] \in \mathbb{P}H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2))$.

Finally, let

$$\mathscr{G}: \ 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-k) \xrightarrow{\phi'} T\mathbb{P}^3 \longrightarrow N_{\mathscr{G}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

be a sub-foliation of a codimension one distribution $\mathscr{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^3$, with $k \geq -1$. The morphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-k) \to T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is the same as a section $\sigma \in H^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(k))$. If $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ does not split as a sum of line bundles, the relative normal sheaf is the twisted ideal sheaf $I_{Y/\mathbb{P}^3}(k+2-d)$, where $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is the closed subscheme of pure dimension 1 given as the zero locus of a σ. In this case, the sequence (2) simplifies to

$$0 \to I_{Y/\mathbb{P}^3}(k+2-d) \to N_{\mathscr{G}} \to I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2) \to 0. \tag{9}$$

Dualizing (10) we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}xt^1(N_{\mathscr{G}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to \mathcal{E}xt^1(I_{Y/\mathbb{P}^3}(k+2-d), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to \mathcal{E}xt^2(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to 0 \tag{10}$$

Note that $\mathcal{E}xt^1(I_{Y/\mathbb{P}^3}(k+2-d), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \simeq \omega_{Y/\mathbb{P}^3}(d-k+2)$ ($\omega_{Y/\mathbb{P}^3}$ denotes the dualizing sheaf of Y), while dualizing (7) we have $\mathcal{E}xt^2(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \simeq \mathcal{E}xt^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3})$. Therefore, we can rewite equation (10) as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}xt^1(N_{\mathscr{G}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to \omega_{Y/\mathbb{P}^3}(d-k+2) \to \mathcal{E}xt^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to 0 \tag{11}$$

The kernel of the surjection in equation (11) can be identified by dualizing the sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-k) \xrightarrow{\sigma} T_{\mathscr{F}} \longrightarrow I_{Y/\mathbb{P}^3}(k+2-d) \to 0,$$

which yields, since $T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}} \simeq T_{\mathscr{F}}(d+2)$:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d-2-k) \to T_{\mathscr{F}}(d+2) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(k) \to \mathcal{E}xt^1(I_{Y/\mathbb{P}^3}(k+2-d), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to \mathcal{E}xt^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to 0.$$

Now, using the identification $\mathcal{E}xt^1(I_{Y/\mathbb{P}^3}(k+2-d), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \simeq \omega_{Y/\mathbb{P}^3}(d-k+2)$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{Y/\mathbb{P}^3}(k) \to \omega_{Y/\mathbb{P}^3}(d-k+2) \to \mathcal{E}xt^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to 0.$$

Together with (11) we conclude that

$$\mathcal{E}xt^1(N_{\mathscr{G}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to \mathcal{O}_{Y/\mathbb{P}^3}(k) \to 0.$$

In particular, we obtain the following important inclusions, under the assumption that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ does not split as a sum of line bundles:

$$\operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathrm{T}_{\mathscr{F}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3})\right) \subset \mathrm{Y} \subset \operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathrm{N}_{\mathscr{G}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3})\right) = \operatorname{Sing}(\mathrm{N}_{\mathscr{G}}). \tag{12}$$

In other words, we have proved the following claim.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution in $\mathbb{P}^3$ whose tangent sheaf $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ does not split as a sum of line bundles. The singular scheme of the sub-distribution induced by a section $\sigma \in H^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(k))$ always contains the zero locus of σ.*

3.1. **Numerical invariants of the singular locus.** Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution on $X = \mathbb{P}^3$ given as in the exact sequence (7), with tangent sheaf $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ and singular scheme Z. As in the exact sequence (3), let $\mathscr{U}$ denote the maximal 0-dimensional subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}$, so that the quotient sheaf is the structure sheaf of a subscheme $C \subset Z \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of pure dimension 1.

We remark that, in general, $\mathscr{U}$ might not be the structure sheaf of a 0-dimensional subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^3$, and C might be reducible and/or non-reduced. However, if, for instance, the support of $\mathscr{U}$ is disjoint from C, then $\mathscr{U}$ is the structure sheaf of a 0-dimensional scheme $R \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, and $\mathcal{O}_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3} = \mathcal{O}_{C/\mathbb{P}^3} + \mathcal{O}_{R/\mathbb{P}^3}$.

Theorem 3.2. *In the notation of the previous paragraph, one has*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) & = & d^2 + 2 - \deg(C) \\ c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = \operatorname{length}(\mathscr{U}) & = & d^3 + 2d^2 + 2d - \deg(C)\cdot(3d-2) + 2p_a(C) - 2 \end{array} \tag{13}$$

where $p_a(C)$ denotes the arithmetic genus of C.

Proof. Considering the exact sequence (7), use $c(T\mathbb{P}^3) = c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) \cdot c(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2))$ to obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 4 & = & c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) + c_1(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2)) \\ 6 & = & c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}})\cdot c_1(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2)) + c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) + c_2(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2)) \\ 4 & = & c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) + c_3(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2)) + c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}})\cdot c_2(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2)) + c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}})\cdot c_1(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2)) \end{array} \tag{14}$$

The first equation gives $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2 - d$, as pointed out in the previous section. The exact sequence (3) is equivalent to the sequence

$$0 \to I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3} \to I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3} \to \mathscr{U} \to 0 \tag{15}$$

It follows that $c_2(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2)) = c_2(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2)) = \deg(C)$, thus substitution into the second equation yields

$$c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = d^2 + 2 - \deg(C).$$

Moreover, the substituting the expressions for the first and second Chern classes into the third equation we obtain

$$d^3 + 2d^2 + 2d + c_3(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2)) + c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - 2d\cdot\deg(C) = 0. \tag{16}$$

Note that

$$c_3(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2)) = c_3(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}) - (d+2)\cdot\deg(C), \tag{17}$$

while it follows from the sequence (15) that

$$c_3(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}) = c_3(I_C) - c_3(\mathscr{U}) = 2\cdot_a(C) - 2 + 4\cdot\deg(C) - 2\cdot\operatorname{length}(\mathscr{U}). \tag{18}$$

Finally, note that

$$\mathcal{E}xt^1(T_{\mathscr{F}},\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3})\simeq\mathcal{E}xt^2(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2),\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3})\simeq\mathcal{E}xt^3(\mathcal{O}_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2),\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3})\simeq\mathcal{E}xt^3(\mathscr{U},\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3});$$

it follows that

$$c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}})=\text{length}(\mathcal{E}xt^1(T_{\mathscr{F}},\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}))=\text{length}(\mathscr{U}). \tag{19}$$

The substituting (17), (18), and (19) into the equation (16) we obtain

$$\text{length}(\mathscr{U})=d^3+2d^2+2d-(3d-2)\deg(C)+2p_a(C)-2$$

as claimed. □

By [33, Theorem 2.3 page 87] that, the distribution corresponding to a generic section $\omega\in H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2))$ has only isolated singularities. In this case $\mathcal{O}_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}=\mathscr{U}$, and we get In addition, we also have

$$c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = d^2+2, \text{ and} \tag{20}$$

$$c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = \text{length}(Z)=d^3+2d^2+2d=c_3(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2)). \tag{21}$$

If $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free, then $Z=C$ by Lemma 2.1, and one obtains the following expression for the degree and arithmetic genus of C in terms of the degree of the distribution and the second Chern class of the tangent sheaf:

$$\deg(C) = d^2+2-c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}), \text{ and} \tag{22}$$

$$p_a(C) = d^3-2d^2+2d-\frac{1}{2}(3d-2)c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}})-1 \tag{23}$$

Substituting the first into the second equation, we have

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{C/\mathbb{P}^3})=1-p_a(C)=\frac{1}{2}\left(d^3+2d^2+2d-(3d-2)\deg(C)\right) \tag{24}$$

Remark 3.3. *In* [46] *determines the number of isolated singularities under the hypothesis that R is disjoint from C.*

The previous equality imposes a rather strong condition on the quantities involved, since they are all integer numbers. We explore this fact in the next corollaries, using the software Mathematica to conclude that certain polynomial equations have no integer solutions.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution of degree d with locally free tangent sheaf. If $d\geq 5$, then C can neither be neither canonical, nor a nonsingular rational curve.*

Proof. If either $p_a(C)=0$ or $2p_a(C)-2=\deg(C)$, then equation (24) has no integer solutions for $d\geq 5$. □

Corollary 3.5. *If $\mathscr{F}$ is a codimension one distribution with locally free tangent sheaf, then C can not be a nonsingular elliptic curve.*

Proof. If $p_a(C)=1$, then equation (24) reduces to $d^3+2d^2+2d=(3d-2)\deg(C)$, which has no integer solutions. □

Corollary 3.6. *If $\mathscr{F}$ is a codimension one distribution with locally free tangent sheaf, then C is an irreducible plane curve if and only if* $\deg(C)=1$ *and* $\deg(\mathscr{F})=0$.

Proof. If C is a plane curve then $2\chi(C) = 2 - 2p_a(C) = -\deg(C)(\deg(C) - 3)$. Then equation (24)

$$-\deg(C)(\deg(C) - 3) = d^3 + 2d^2 + 2d - (3d - 2)\deg(C)$$

and the only integer solution is given by $\deg(C) = 1$ and $d = 0$, for $d \geq 0$. □

3.2. **Connectedness of the singular locus.** Understanding whether or not the singular locus of a codimension 1 distribution is connected is an important issue. We now present a homological criterion for connectedness, and explore the relation between connectedness and integrability.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution of degree $d > 0$ with singular scheme Z. If $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-2-d)) = 0$, then Z is connected and of pure dimension 1, so that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free. Conversely, for $d \neq 2$, if Z is connected, then $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free and $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-2-d)) = 0$.*

Proof. Twisting the exact sequence (7) by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2-d)$ and passing to cohomology we obtain, using Bott's formula:

$$0 \to H^1(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}) \to H^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-2-d)) \to H^2(T\mathbb{P}^3(-2-d)).$$

If $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-2-d)) = 0$, then $h^1(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}) = 0$. It follows from the sequence

$$0 \to I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \to \mathcal{O}_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3} \to 0$$

that

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}) \to 0,$$

hence $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}) = 1$. From the sequence (3), we get

$$0 \to H^0(\mathscr{U}) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}) \to 0$$

Thus either $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}) = 1$, and $\mathscr{U} = 0$ and C is connected, or $\text{length}(\mathscr{U}) = 1$ and C is empty. This second possibility leads to a contradiction: by equation (21) $\text{length}(\mathscr{U})$ is either equal 0 or ≥ 5. It follows that $Z = C$ must be connect and of pure dimension 1, and thus, by Lemma 2.1, $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free.

Conversely, assume that Z is connected; by the argument of the previous paragraph, Z cannot consist of a single point. Thus Z must be of pure dimension 1, and Lemma 2.1 implies that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free. It also follows that $h^1(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}) = 0$; since $h^2(T\mathbb{P}^3(-2-d)) = 0$ for $d \neq 2$, we conclude that $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-2-d)) = 0$, as desired. □

When the tangent sheaf $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ splits as a sum of line bundles, Giraldo and Pan-Collantes proved that the singular locus Z is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, hence, in particular, Z is connected; see [23, Theorem 3.3]. We now provide a new proof of the connectedness, as a particular case of Theorem 3.7.

Corollary 3.8. *If $\mathscr{F}$ is a codimension one distribution on $\mathbb{P}^3$ whose tangent sheaf splits as a sum of line bundles, then its singular scheme is connected.*

Proof. Assuming that $T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1-d_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1-d_2)$ with $d_1, d_2 \geq 0$, then clearly $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-2-d)) = 0$, where $d = d_1 + d_2$ is the degree of $\mathscr{F}$. □

Corollary 3.9. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with locally free tangent sheaf. If $T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}}$ is ample, then its singular scheme is connected.*

Proof. We have, by Serre duality,

$$H^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-2-d)) \simeq H^1(T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}}(2+d)\otimes K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) = H^1(T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}}(d-2)\otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(4)\otimes K_{\mathbb{P}^3}).$$

Observe that $T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}}(d-2)\otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(4)\otimes K_{\mathbb{P}^3} = T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}}\otimes \det(T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}})\otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(4)\otimes K_{\mathbb{P}^3}$; since $T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(4)$ are ample, then, by Griffiths Vanishing Theorem [35], we get

$$h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-2-d)) = h^1(T^*_{\mathscr{F}}\otimes \det(T^*_{\mathscr{F}})\otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(4)\otimes K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) = 0.$$

The result follows from Proposition 3.7. □

We now examine the relation between connectedness and integrability. Let ω be a germ of an integrable 1-form in $\mathbb{C}^3$, singular at $0\in\mathbb{C}^3$.

(1) Isolated singularities. If 0 is an isolated singularity of ω, then it follows from Malgrange's Theorem [37] that there are germs of holomorphic functions $f\in\mathcal{O}_0$ and $g\in\mathcal{O}_0^*$, such that $\omega = gdf$. In this case, the tangent sheaf $Ker(\omega)$ is not locally free at 0.

(2) Kupka type singularities. Define $K(\omega) = \{\omega(0)=0,\ d\omega(0)\neq 0\}$. It follows from Kupka's Theorem [34] that $K(\omega)$ is a smooth curve and locally the form ω can be written in two variables. In this case, the tangent sheaf $Ker(\omega)$ is locally free at 0.

We define the non-Kupka set of ω by $NK(\omega) = Sing(\omega) - K(\omega)$. This type of singularities was studied in [8].

(3) Simple singularities. $\omega(0)=0$ and $d\omega$ has an isolated singularity at 0. These singularities are contained in the closure $\overline{K(\omega)}$. The tangent sheaf is locally free at 0. See [9] or [8, Theorem 4.1].

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution on $\mathbb{P}^3$, of degree $d>0$. If $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free and $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ smooth and connected, then $\mathscr{F}$ is not integrable.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathscr{F}$ is integrable. Consider the morphism $\varphi : T_{\mathscr{F}} \longrightarrow T\mathbb{P}^3$ defining the distribution and the twisted 1-form $\omega\in H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2))$. Since $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free, then the singular set of the distribution is

$$Sing(\mathscr{F}) = \{p\in\mathbb{P}^3 |\ \mathrm{rk}(\varphi)_p \leq 1\} = \{p\in\mathbb{P}^3 |\ \omega(p)=0\}.$$

If $\{u,v\}$ is a local frame of $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ on a neighborhood of $p\in Z$, then $\varphi(u)=\mathbf{X}$ and $\varphi(v)=\mathbf{Y}$ are holomorphic vector fields such that $\omega = \imath_{\mathbf{X}}\imath_{\mathbf{Y}} dx\wedge dy\wedge dz$, up to a unity in $\mathcal{O}_p$. Since $Sing(\mathscr{F})$ is smooth, then

$$D_0(\varphi) := \{p\in\mathbb{P}^3 | \mathrm{rk}(\varphi)_p = 0\} = \emptyset.$$

That is, for all $p\in Z$ we have $\mathrm{rk}(\varphi)_p = 1$. Therefore, we can assume that $\mathbf{X}$ is a germ of a tangent vector field at p that does not vanishes at p. Then, there exits a holomorphic coordinate system $\zeta=(x,y,z)$ around 0 such that the foliation is induced by

$$\omega = e^{\alpha z}[a(x,y)dx + (x,y)dy];\quad \alpha\in\mathbb{C}.$$

In fact, let $\zeta=(x,y,z)$ be a holomorphic coordinate system such that $\mathbf{X} = \partial/\partial z$. In these coordinates we have $\omega = A(\zeta)dx + B(\zeta)dy + C(\zeta)dz$. Since $\imath_{\mathbf{X}}\omega\equiv 0$, we have $C\equiv 0$. Now, by integrability condition we have

$$0\equiv \omega\wedge d\omega = \left(A(\zeta)\frac{\partial B}{\partial z} - B(\zeta)\frac{\partial A}{\partial z}\right) dx\wedge dy\wedge dz$$

gives the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\log B - \log A) = 0 \Longrightarrow A(\zeta) = e^{\alpha z}a(x,y,0),\quad \text{and}\quad B(\zeta) = e^{\alpha z}b(x,y,0), \alpha\in\mathbb{C}.$$

At any point $p \in Z$, we have $j^1_p\omega \neq 0$. In fact, since Z is connected and smooth we conclude that $< a, b >=< x, y >$. If $K(\omega) \cap Z = \emptyset$, then by [8] the Baum–Bott residue $BB(Z)$ along Z vanishes. Then we get a contradiction with the Baum–Bott Theorem that says

$$BB(Z)\deg(Z) = (d+2)^2.$$

If $K(\omega) \cap Z \neq \emptyset$, we have a Kupka compact connected component. In this case, the foliation (since $\deg(\mathscr{F}) > 0$), has a meromorphic first integral of the type f^p/g^q with $\deg(f) + \deg(g) = d+2$ and intersecting transversely at Z, see [13]. In fact, Z is the complete intersection $Z = \{f = g = 0\}$. These foliations always have isolated singularities, hence the tangent sheaf cannot be locally free; see [18] or Theorem 10.1 below. □

3.3. **Martinet surface.** The *O'Neill tensor* of a codimension one distribution $\mathscr{F}$ on $X = \mathbb{P}^3$ is defined by the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge^2 T_{\mathscr{F}} &\longrightarrow N_{\mathscr{F}} \simeq I_Z(d+2) \\ u \wedge v &\longmapsto \pi[\phi(u), \phi(v)], \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bigwedge^2 T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2-d)$, we get a non zero element of $H^0(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(2d))$. This means that Z is contained on a surface V of degree $2d$, called the *Martinet surface* of $\mathscr{F}$, which given by the zero set of

$$\omega \wedge d\omega \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \Omega^3_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2d+4)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2d)).$$

Now, we show that the Martinet surface of a non-integrable distribution can not be a very general smooth surface.

Corollary 3.11. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a locally free non-integrable distribution on $\mathbb{P}^3$, of degree $d > 1$, with $Sing(\mathscr{F})$ irreducible. Then, the Martinet surface of $\mathscr{F}$ can not be a very general smooth surface.*

Proof. Suppose that the Martinet surface V of $\mathscr{F}$ is a very general smooth surface of $\mathbb{P}^3$. Since $\deg(V) = 2d \geq 4$, It follows from Noether–Lefschetz's theorem that $Pic(V) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}_V(1)$. In particular $C \subset V$ is such that $\mathcal{O}_V(C) = \mathcal{O}_V(r)$, for some r. By adjunction formula we have

$$2p_a(C) - 2 = c_1(\mathcal{O}_V(C)) \cdot (c_1(\mathcal{O}_V(C)) + c_1(K_V)) = 2dr(r + 2d - 4),$$

since $K_V = \mathcal{O}_V(2d-4)$. By using this and the Theorem 3.2 we get

$$d^3 + 2d^2 + 2d - (3d-2)(2dr) + 2dr(r+2d-4) = 0.$$

since $\deg(C) = 2dr$. However, this equation has no integer solutions for $d > 1$. □

4. Cohomology of distributions

We start by listing a few elementary facts about the cohomology of the tangent sheaf $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ of a codimension one distribution $\mathscr{F}$ as in equation (7).

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution of degree d, with reduced singular scheme. Then $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ satisfies:*

(i) $T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}} = T_{\mathscr{F}}(d-2)$.
(ii) $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)) = 0$ *for* $p \leq -2$.
(iii) $h^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)) = 0$ *for* $p \leq -d-2$.
(iv) $h^3(T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)) = 0$ *for* $p \geq d-4$.

Proof. Since $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf, the first claim is just $T^{\vee}_{\mathscr{F}} = T_{\mathscr{F}} \otimes (\det T_{\mathscr{F}})^{\vee}$ and $(\det T_{\mathscr{F}})^{\vee} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}))$ [27, Prop 1.10]. The second item follows easily from (1), since $h^0(T\mathbb{P}^3(-2)) = 0$. For the third one, we have the exact sequence in cohomology

$$H^0(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+p+2)) \to H^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)) \to H^1(T\mathbb{P}^3(p)).$$

Since Z is reduced, the term on the left vanishes for $p+d+2 \leq 0$; on the other hand, the term of the right vanishes for all p. Finally, the last claim is just Serre duality, see [27, Theorem 2.5]. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ be the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution of degree d. If $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ does not split as a sum of line bundles, then $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) = 0$, and $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)) \leq 1$ for $p < (d-2)/2$.*

Proof. Given a nontrivial section $\sigma \in H^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1))$ we obtain a sub-foliation

$$\mathscr{G}: \ 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \longrightarrow T\mathbb{P}^3 \longrightarrow N_{\mathscr{G}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

whose singular locus consists of a single point. However, $\mathrm{Sing}(N_{\mathscr{G}})$ should contain, by the inclusion in equation (12), the zero locus of σ, which is 1-dimensional. It thus follows that $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) = 0$.

Next, let σ be a non zero section of $T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)$, providing, since $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ does not split, the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \to T_{\mathscr{F}}(p) \to I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}(2p+2-d) \to 0,$$

where C is the zero locus of σ. If $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)) \geq 2$, then $h^0(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}(2p+2-d)) \geq 1$. By using the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}(2p+2-d)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2p+2-d)) \to$$

we must have $2p+2-d \geq 0$, that is, $p \geq (d-2)/2$. □

Now let $c := c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}})$ and $\ell = c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}})$ denote the second and third Chern classes of the tangent sheaf $T_{\mathscr{F}}$. The Hilbert polynomial of $T_{\mathscr{F}}$, defined by $P_{\mathscr{F}}(t) = \chi(T_{\mathscr{F}}(t))$, is given by

$$P_{\mathscr{F}}(t) = \frac{1}{3}(t+3)(t+2)(t+1) + \frac{1}{2}(t+2)(t+1)(2-d) - (t+2)c + \frac{1}{2}(\ell + (d-2)c) \tag{25}$$

Remark 4.3. *Note that $\ell \geq 0$, and $\ell = 0$ if and only if $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free* [27, Proposition 2.6]. *Moreover, $dc \equiv \ell (mod 2)$* [27, Cor. 2.4], *and if $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free, then c must be even whenever d is odd.*

Now, we focus on distributions with locally free tangent sheaf.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ be the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution of degree d, and reduced singular scheme. If $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free, then:*

(i) *For $d = 0$, $h^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)) = 0$ for $p \neq -2$ and $h^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-2)) \leq 1$*
(ii) *For $d = 1$, $h^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)) = 0$ for $p \leq -3$ and $p > 0$, with $h^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) \leq 1$.*
(iii) *For $d \geq 2$, $h^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)) = 0$ for $p \leq -d-2$ and $p \geq 2d-3$.*

Proof. Since the singular locus Z is a reduced curve, so that $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z(k)) = 0$ for $k \leq -1$, hence $h^1(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(k)) = 0$ in the same range. Therefore, in the cohomology sequence

$$H^1(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(p+d+2) \to H^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)) \to H^2(T\mathbb{P}^3(p))$$

the left term vanishes for $p \leq -d-3$, while the right vanishes except for $p = -4$, and $h^1(T\mathbb{P}^3(-4)) = 1$. It follows that

(i) for $d = 0$, $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)) = 0$ for $p = -3$ and $p \leq -5$, with $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-4)) \leq 1$.
(ii) for $d = 1$, $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)) = 0$ for $p \leq -5$, with $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-4)) \leq 1$
(iii) for $d \geq 2$, $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)) = 0$ for $p \leq -d-3$.

The lemma follows easily from this observation, item(i) of lemma 2.1, and Serre duality: $h^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)) = h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(d-p-6))$. □

The next result will be used in the classification of distributions with locally free tangent sheaf of small degree.

Lemma 4.5. *If $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution of degree $d \geq 2$, then $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) \leq d^2 + 2$. If, in addition, $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free and its singular scheme is reduced, then*

$$c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) \leq \frac{(2d-1)(2d-2)(d/3+2)}{3d}$$

Proof. The first inequality comes from Theorem 3.2, using the fact that $\deg(C) \geq 1$ when $d \geq 2$.

From Lemma 4.1 item (iv) and Lemma 4.4 item (ii) plus Serre duality, we have that $h^q(T_{\mathscr{F}}(p)) = 0$, $q = 1, 2, 3$ and for $p \geq 2d-3$. It follows that

$$\chi(T_{\mathscr{F}}(2d-3)) = h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(2d-3)) \geq 0.$$

Applying this information into formula (25), we obtain the inequality

$$\frac{1}{3}2d(2d-1)(2d-2) + \frac{1}{2}(2d-1)(2d-2)(2-d) - \frac{3d}{2}c \geq 0$$

from which we obtain the desired estimate. □

An effective lower bound for the second Chern class of the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution can be obtained as follows. Consider a generic plane $P \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$; a codimension one distribution $\mathscr{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^3$ induces a dimension one foliation on $P \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ of the same degree; the singular scheme of this distribution comes from the intersection of P with the singular scheme of $\mathscr{F}$, plus some tangency scheme. Using the bounds for foliations found in [45, Corollary 4.8], we have that

$$\deg(C) \leq d^2 + d + 1. \tag{26}$$

Using the identities of Theorem 3.2, we obtain

$$c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) \geq 1 - d. \tag{27}$$

Remark 4.6. *The lower bound in equation (27) is realized in every degree by a foliations admitting a sub-foliation of degree 0. Indeed, Let $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ be the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution of degree d; this admits a sub-foliation of degree 0 if and only if $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) \neq 0$. By Lemma 4.2, it follows that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ must split as a sum of line bundles, so that $T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1-a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1-b)$ with $0 \leq a \leq b$ and $d = a + b$. Since $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) \neq 0$, we must have $a = 0$, thus in fact $T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1-d)$, hence $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1 - d$. Such distributions are given, in homogeneous coordinates, by a 1-form of the type*

$$\omega = A_0(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)dz_0 + A_1(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)dz_1 + A_2(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)dz_2,$$

where A_j, $j = 1, 2, 3$ are homogeneous of degree $d+1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{3} z_i A_i \equiv 0$. In fact, the subfoliation given by the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1-d) = T_{\mathscr{F}}$ can be represented by the constant vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}$. This implies that $\omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}) = A_3 = 0$.

Observe that $\mathscr{F}$ is integrable, i.e. $\omega \wedge d\omega = 0$, if and only if each A_j depends only on the variables z_0, z_1, z_2; this case corresponds to foliations which are a linear pull–back of foliations of degree d on the plane $\mathbb{P}^2$.

5. Distributions induced by globally generated sheaves

In this section we describe a simple way to construct new examples of codimension one distributions on $\mathbb{P}^3$, based on the following result, proved in the Appendix.

Proposition 5.1. *Let E be a globally generated rank 2 reflexive sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^3$. Then $E(1-c_1(E))$ is a tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution $\mathscr{F}$ of degree $d = c_1(E)$, with $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = c_2(E) - c_1(E) + 1$ and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = c_3(E)$. Moreover, if E is locally free a singular set of the induced foliation is a smooth curve.*

Since every coherent sheaf become globally generated after a sufficiently large twist, it follows that for every reflexive sheaf E there exists $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $E(1-c_1(E)-p)$ is the tangent sheaf of a codimension 1 distribution on $\mathbb{P}^3$. However, there are codimension one distributions on $\mathbb{P}^3$ that do not arise as in Proposition 5.1.

Example 5.2. *Recall that a* null-correlation bundle *is a locally free sheaf N defined by the following exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \to N \to 0, \tag{28}$$

where $\sigma \in H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2))$ is a non-vanishing section. Since $\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1+k)$ is globally generated for $k \geq 1$, then so is $N(k)$. Proposition 5.1 provides us with codimension one distributions of degree $2k$ whose tangent sheaf is $N(1-k)$.

On the other hand, dualizing sequence 28, and twisting the result by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$ we obtain the following codimension one distribution of degree 0

$$0 \to N(1) \to T\mathbb{P}^3 \xrightarrow{\sigma^\vee} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \to 0, \tag{29}$$

which does not arise as in Proposition 5.1.

Moreover, we have that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(k-1)^{\oplus 4} \to N(k) \to 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(k-1)^{\oplus 4}$ is ample for $k \geq 2$, then $N(k)$ is also ample in this range. Therefore, $T_{\mathscr{F}} = N(1-2k)$ is a tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution of degree $2k$ with $T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}} = N(2k-1)$ ample, for all $k \geq 1$.

Another interesting property of the null correlation bundle as the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution is provided by the classification of indecomposable rank 2 globally generated locally free sheaves given in [15, Theorem 1.1].

Corollary 5.3. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution of degree ≤ 3. If its tangent sheaf $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free, globally generated, and it does not split as a sum of line bundles, then $\deg(\mathscr{F}) = 2$ and $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a null correlation bundle twisted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)$.*

6. Stability of the tangent sheaves of distributions with isolated singularities

Let L be a very ample line bundle on a variety X of dimension n; the slope of a torsion free sheaf E on X is given by

$$\mu_L(E) := \frac{c_1(E) \cdot L^{n-1}}{\mathrm{rk}(E)}.$$

Recall that E is said to be μ-(semi)stable if every proper nontrivial subsheaf $F \subset E$ satisfies $\mu_L(F) < \mu_L(E)$ ($\mu_L(F) \leq \mu_L(E)$).

Recall that, for each choice of L, one has the following chain of strict implications [30, Lemma 1.2.13]:

$$\mu\text{-stable} \implies \text{stable} \implies \text{semistable} \implies \mu\text{-semistable}.$$

However, a rank 2 reflexive sheaf is stable if and only if it is μ-stable [41, Corollary, page 176]; by contrast, semistability and μ-semistability are not equivalent.

In this section, we study the stability of the tangent sheaf of codimension one distributions with only isolated singularities on a smooth weighted projective complete intersection Fano 3-fold X with rank one Picard group. Such varieties have been classified by Iskovskikh [31, 32] and Mukai [39]; they are:

(1) the projective space $\mathbb{P}^3$;
(2) a quadric hypersurface $X_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$;
(3) a cubic hypersurface $X_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$;
(4) an intersection $X_{2,2}$ of two quadric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$;
(5) a hypersurface of degree 4 in the weighted projective space $X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,2)$;
(6) a hypersurface of degree 6 in the weighted projective space $X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$;
(7) an intersection of a quadratic cone and a hypersurface of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,1,2)$;
(8) an intersection $X_{2,3}$ of a quadric and a cubic in $\mathbb{P}^5$;
(9) an intersection $X_{2,2,2}$ of three quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^6$.

Let $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot H$ with H ample. Recall that the *index* of X is the integer $i_X > 0$ such that $-K_X = i_X \cdot H$.

Given a torsion free sheaf E on X, we denote $c_1(E) = c(E) \cdot H$, so that $\mu_H(E) = c(E)/\operatorname{rk}(E)$. If E is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf, then E is (semi)stable if and only if $h^0(F(k)) = 0$ ($h^0(F(k-1)) = 0$) where $k = -c(E)/2$ if $c(E)$ is even, and $k = (c(E)+1)/2$ if $c(E)$ is odd.

The goal of this section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution on a smooth weighted projective complete intersection Fano 3-fold X with rank one Picard group. If $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ has dimension equal to zero, and $c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) < -2$, then $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable. Moreover, if $X = \mathbb{P}^3$ and $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) < 2$ the same holds.*

The proof makes use of a result due to Flenner, cf. [22, Satz 8.11], providing the following formulas for the cohomology of Ω^q_X:

(i) $h^q(X, \Omega^q_X) = 1$ for $0 \leq q \leq 3$,
(ii) $h^p\big(X, \Omega^q_X(t)\big) = 0$ in the following cases
- $0 < p < 3$, $p + q \neq 3$ and either $p \neq q$ or $t \neq 0$;
- $p + q > 3$ and $t > q - p$;
- $p + q < 3$ and $t < q - p$.

Proof. Consider a codimension one distribution $\mathscr{F}$ on X, given by the exact sequence

$$0 \to T_{\mathscr{F}} \longrightarrow TX \xrightarrow{\omega} N_{\mathscr{F}} \to 0,$$

where $\omega \in H^0(\Omega^1_X(c(N_{\mathscr{F}}))) = H^0(\Omega^1_X(i_X - c(T_{\mathscr{F}})))$ is the 1-form representing $\mathscr{F}$. Let $\mathcal{L} \subset T_{\mathscr{F}}$ be the tangent sheaf of a sub-foliation $\mathscr{F}$, induced by $v \in H^0(TX(-c(\mathcal{L})))$. We have that ω induces a morphism of sheaves

$$\imath_\omega : TX(-c(\mathcal{L})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(i_X - c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L})). \tag{30}$$

By hypothesis, since the scheme of zeroes Z of $\omega \in H^0(\Omega^1_X(i_X - c(T_{\mathscr{F}})))$ is 0-dimensional, we have that Koszul complex associated to Z,

$$0 \to \bigwedge^3(\Omega^1_X(i_X - c(T_{\mathscr{F}})))^\vee \to \bigwedge^2(\Omega^1_X(i_X - c(T_{\mathscr{F}})))^\vee \to \Omega^1_X(i_X - c(T_{\mathscr{F}}))^\vee \to I_{Z/X} \to 0, \tag{31}$$

is exact. Using $\Omega^1_X(i_X - c(T_{\mathscr{F}}))^\vee \simeq TX(-i_X + c(T_{\mathscr{F}}))$, and tensoring with $\mathcal{O}_X(i_X - c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L}))$, we obtain the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \bigwedge^3 TX(-2i_X + 2c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L})) \to \bigwedge^2 TX(-i_X + c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L})) \to \tag{32}$$
$$\to TX(-c(\mathcal{L})) \xrightarrow{\imath_\omega} I_{Z/X}(i_X - c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L})) \to 0.$$

This breaks into two short exact sequences:

$$0 \to \bigwedge^3 TX(-2i_X + 2c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L})) \to \bigwedge^2 TX(-i_X + c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L})) \to K_1 \to 0 \tag{33}$$
$$0 \to K_1 \to TX(-c(\mathcal{L})) \xrightarrow{\imath_\omega} I_{Z/X}(i_X - c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L})) \to 0$$

Since the section $v \in H^0(TX(-c(\mathcal{L})))$ inducing the sub-foliation satisfies $\imath_\omega v = 0$, it follows that $v \in H^0(K_1)$. Passing to cohomology we get the exact sequence

$$H^0\left(\bigwedge^2 TX(-i_X + c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L}))\right) \xrightarrow{\zeta} H^0(K_1) \longrightarrow H^1\left(\bigwedge^3 TX(-2i_X + 2c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L}))\right). \tag{34}$$

Note that

$$H^1(\bigwedge^3 TX(-2i_X + 2c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L}))) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-i_X + 2c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L}))) = 0,$$

since $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(t)) = 0$, for all t, by Flenner's result. Therefore, ζ is surjective, and there exists a non zero global section η of

$$\bigwedge^2 TX(-i_X + c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L})) \simeq \Omega^1_X(i_X - i_X + c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L}))$$

such that $\zeta(\eta) = v$. In particular $H^0(\bigwedge^2 TX(-i_X + c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L}))) \neq 0$, and it follows from Flenner's formulae that

$$c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L}) \geq -1.$$

This implies that

$$\mu_H(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = \frac{c(T_{\mathscr{F}})}{2} > c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) + 1 \geq c(\mathcal{L}) = \mu_H(\mathcal{L})$$

since $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) < -2$.

If $X = \mathbb{P}^3$, then $H^0\left(\bigwedge^2 T\mathbb{P}^3(-4 + c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L}))\right) = 0$ occurs only when $-4 + c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - c(\mathcal{L}) + 2 \geq 0$. Then

$$\mu_H(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = \frac{c(T_{\mathscr{F}})}{2} > c(T_{\mathscr{F}}) - 2 \geq c(\mathcal{L}) = \mu_H(\mathcal{L}),$$

since $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) < 2$. □

We complete this section by emphasizing that the hypothesis of the $\mathscr{F}$ having only isolated singularities is essential. First, Proposition 5.1 allows us to construct the following example of a codimension one distribution whose tangent sheaf is locally free (hence its singular scheme has pure dimension 1), and not μ-semistable. Indeed, recall that a monad is a complex of locally free sheaves of the form

$$A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C$$

such that α is injective and β is surjective; the sheaf $\ker\beta/\operatorname{im}\alpha$ is called the cohomology of the monad.

Example 6.2. *Start by considering the monad of the form*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3)$$

where the morphisms α and β are given by

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} -x_3 \\ x_2 \\ -x_1^5 \\ x_0^5 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} x_0^5 & x_1^5 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

The cohomology of this monad is a rank 2 locally free sheaf E with $c_1(E) = 0$ and $c_2(E) = 1$. In addition, note that E is not μ-semistable, since $h^0(E(-1)) = h^0(\ker \beta(-1)) \neq 0$. Since, according to [17, Theorem 3.2]*, $E(7)$ is regular (in the sense of Castelnuovo–Mumford), hence globally generated, it follows from Proposition 5.1 that $E(-6)$ is the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution of degree 14, i.e. there are codimension one distributions of the form*

$$\mathscr{F} \ : \ 0 \to E(-6) \to T\mathbb{P}^3 \to I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}(16) \to 0 \tag{35}$$

where C is a smooth curve of degree 161 and genus 1639.

Note, in addition, that $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-16)) = h^2(E(-22)) = h^1(E(18))$, where the last equality follows from Serre duality. However, $h^1(E(18)) = 0$ because E is 7-regular. It follows from Proposition (3.7) that C is connected. Thus, by Theorem 3.10, we conclude that codimension one distributions as the one in equation (35) are not integrable.

Another example will be described in Theorem 10.3 below: a codimension one foliation of degree 2 whose tangent sheaf is *properly μ-semistable* (that is, μ-semistable but not stable), and whose singular scheme consists of a degree 5 curve of genus 2 plus two (possibly embedded) points, counted with multiplicity, see section 10.2.

It would be interesting to determine what is the smallest δ for which there exists a codimension one distribution of degree δ whose tangent sheaf is not μ-semistable. In the next three Sections, we show that the tangent sheaf of every codimension one distribution of degree at most 3 is μ-semistable; moreover, if the tangent sheaf is locally free, then is it stable. In other words, we so far know that $4 \leq \delta \leq 13$.

For distributions with non-isolated singularities, we have the following general result regarding the stability of their tangent sheaves. First, recall that the *normalization* E_η of a torsion free sheaf E on $\mathbb{P}^3$ is defined as follows:

$$E_\eta := \begin{cases} E(-c_1(E)/2), & \text{if } c_1(E) \text{ is even,} \\ E(-(c_1(E)+1)/2), & \text{if } c_1(E) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, a rank 2 reflexive sheaf E on $\mathbb{P}^3$ is μ-semistable if and only if $H^0(E_\eta(-1)) = 0$, and it is stable if and only if $H^0(E_\eta) = 0$.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution of degree d on $\mathbb{P}^3$, and let C be the 1-dimensional component of* $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$*. If*

(i) $2\deg(C) < d^2 + d$*, if d is even.*

(ii) $2\deg(C) < (d+1)^2$*, if d is odd.*

then $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable.

Proof. Suppose that d is even. If $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is not stable, then there exist a section on $\sigma \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, T_{\mathscr{F}}(-c_1/2)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, T_{\mathscr{F}}((d-2)/2))$. Such section induces a subfoliation $\mathscr{G}$ of $\mathscr{F}$ of degree $d/2$ such that $W := (\sigma = 0) \subset S$, where S is the 1-dimensional component of $Sing(\mathscr{G})$. One hand we have that

$$c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}((d-2)/2)) = \deg(W) \leq \deg(S) \leq \frac{d^2}{4} + \frac{d}{2} + 1, \tag{36}$$

The last inequality follows from [44]. On the other hand, we have

$$c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}((d-2)/2)) = c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) + (2-d)\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right) + \frac{(d-2)^2}{2}.$$

Since $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = d^2 + 2 - \deg(C)$, we obtain

$$c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}((d-2)/2)) = d^2 + 2 - \deg(C) + (2-d)\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right) + \frac{(d-2)^2}{2}.$$

Now, substituting in 36 we obtain

$$d^2 + d \leq 2\deg(C).$$

Now, if d is odd, then exist a section

$$\sigma \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, T_{\mathscr{F}}(-(c_1+1)/2)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, T_{\mathscr{F}}((d-3)/2))$$

which induces a subfoliation of degree $(d-1)/2$. By repeating the same argument as above, the result follows. □

7. Classification of degree 0 distributions

The classification of degree 0 distribution is well known, see [33] for the integrable case. Below, we provide a new proof.

Proposition 7.1. *If $\mathscr{F}$ is a codimension one distribution of degree 0, then*

(i) *either $T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$ and its singular scheme is a line;*
(ii) *or $T_{\mathscr{F}} = N(1)$ for some null-correlation bundle N, and its singular scheme is empty.*

Proof. Since $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2$, the normalization of $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is $T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)$. If $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) \neq 0$, then, by Lemma 4.2, $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is split, hence $T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$. It follows that its singular scheme has pure dimension 1 (since $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is locally free) and degree 1 (by formula (22)), hence it must be a line.

On the other hand, if $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) = 0$, then $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a stable reflexive sheaf with Chern classes $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) = 0$ and $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) = 1 - \deg(C)$. The Bogomolov inequality guarantees that

$$0 < c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) = 1 - \deg(C),$$

hence $\deg(C) = 0$ and $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) = 1$. By [14, Lemma 2.1], $T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)$must be locally free. However, the every stable rank 2 locally free sheaf N with $c_1(N) = 0$ and $c_2(N) = 1$ is a null-correlation bundle, see [27, 8.4.1]. It follows that $T_{\mathscr{F}} = N(1)$. □

We observe that both examples can be realized as codimension one distributions. In fact, since $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) = 4$, one can choose two linearly independent sections of $H^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1))$ to obtain an injective morphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \to T\mathbb{P}^3$, thus providing a distribution of type *(i)*.

Recall that a null-correlation bundle N is defined as the cokernel of a morphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \to \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$, given by a non vanishing section in $H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2))$:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \to \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \to N \to 0.$$

Dualizing this exact sequence and twisting the result by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$ we obtain

$$\mathscr{F} : 0 \to N(1) \to T\mathbb{P}^3 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \to 0,$$

thus providing a distribution of type *(ii)*.

8. Classification of degree 1 distributions

Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution of degree 1 on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with singular scheme Z; let $\mathscr{U}$ be the maximal 0-dimensional subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}$, and let $C \subset Z$ be the corresponding subscheme of pure dimension 1. The inequality (26) becomes $\deg(C) \leq 3$; using Theorem 3.2, we obtain

$$c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 3 - \deg(C) \quad \text{and} \quad c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 3 - \deg(C) + 2p_a(C).$$

Note also that the normalization of $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is again $T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)$. It follows that

(i) either $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) \neq 0$, hence, by Lemma 4.2, $T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$;
(ii) or $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) = 0$, and $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a stable reflexive sheaf.

In the first case, $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 0$, hence $\deg(C) = 3$ and $p_a(C) = 0$. In addition,

$$h^0(I_Z(1)) = h^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-2)) = 0,$$

hence $Z = C$ cannot be contained in any plane. We conclude that Z is a (possibly degenerate) twisted cubic curve.

In the second case, Bogomolov inequality forces $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) \geq 1$, so that $\deg(C) = 0, 1, 2$. Let us analyze each possibility.

If $\deg(C) = 0$, so that $C = \emptyset$, it follows that $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 3$ and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 5$.

If $\deg(C) = 1$, so that C is a line and hence $p_a(C) = 0$, it follows that $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2$ and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2$.

If $\deg(C) = 2$, it follows that $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$ and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1 + 2p_a(C)$. Since $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is reflexive, we must have $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) \geq 0$, hence $p_a(C) \geq 0$. On the other hand, since $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ and $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = -1$, it follows from [27, Theorem 8.2(d)] that $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) \leq 1$, thus actually $p_a(C) = 0$ and C is a (possibly degenerate) conic.

We summarize the facts obtained above in the following table.

$\deg(C)$	$c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}})$	$c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}})$	$T_{\mathscr{F}}$	$\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$
0	3	5	stable	5 points
1	2	2	stable	a line and 2 points
2	1	1	stable	a conic and a point
3	0	0	split	a twisted cubic

Table 1. Codimension one distribution of degree 1; all possibilities are realized.

Let us now look in detail each of the four classes of distributions described above, showing that each one can be realized concretely.

8.1. **Distributions with isolated singularities.**

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution of degree 1 with isolated singularities. Then its tangent sheaf $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is given as the cokernel of a morphism*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \oplus T\mathbb{P}^3(-3) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 6} \to T_{\mathscr{F}} \to 0,$$

and there exist an unique morphism $\phi : T_{\mathscr{F}} \to T\mathbb{P}^3$. In particular, $\mathscr{F}$ does not admit subfoliations of degree < 2, and possess subfoliations of degree 2 singular along a curve of Y degree 5 and arithmetic genus -4.

Proof. In this case $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$, $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 3$ and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 5$ and the normalized sheaf $(T_{\mathscr{F}})_\eta = T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)$ satisfies $c_1((T_{\mathscr{F}})_\eta) = -1$, $c_2((T_{\mathscr{F}})_\eta) = 3$ and $c_3((T_{\mathscr{F}})_\eta) = 5$.

We observe that $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 0$. Indeed, a section of $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ induces a subfoliation $\mathscr{G}$ of $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ of dimension one and degree one. Since $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ is 0-dimensional, it follows from [6, Corollary 3] that $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ must be contained on the 0-dimensional component $S_0(\mathscr{G})$ of $Sing(\mathscr{G})$. However, $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ has length 5, while the, following [44], the length of $S_0(\mathscr{G})$ is at most 4.

This shows that $(T_{\mathscr{F}})_\eta$ is corresponds to a generic point of the moduli space of stable rank 2 reflexive sheaves with Chern classes $c_1 = -1$, $c_2 = 3$ and $c_3 = 5$. Therefore, it follows from the proof of Theorem [14, Theorem 3.14] that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is given as the cokernel of a morphism of locally free sheaves:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \oplus T\mathbb{P}^3(-3) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 6} \to T_{\mathscr{F}} \to 0. \tag{37}$$

One can then check that $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 0$ and $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(1)) = 6$.

Now, we will show that $h^0(T\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$. Since $T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}} = T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)$, twisting the sequence (37) by $T\mathbb{P}^3(-1)$ we get

$$0 \to T\mathbb{P}^3(-3) \oplus T\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3(-4) \to T\mathbb{P}^3(-2)^{\oplus 6} \to T\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}} \to 0. \tag{38}$$

Twisting the sequence (37) by $T\mathbb{P}^3$, taking cohomology and using Bott's Formulae we get

$$0 = H^0(T\mathbb{P}^3(-2))^6 \to H^0(T\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}}) \to H^1(T\mathbb{P}^3(-3)) \oplus H^1(T\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3(-4)) \to H^1(T\mathbb{P}^3(-2))^6 = 0.$$

Since $h^1(T\mathbb{P}^3(-3)) = 0$, we conclude that $h^0(T\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}}) = h^1(T\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3(-4))$. Now, to calculate $h^1(T\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3(-4))$ we twist the Euler's sequence by $T\mathbb{P}^3(-4)$, taking cohomology and by using Bott's Formulae we conclude that $h^1(T\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3(-4)) = h^2(T\mathbb{P}^3(-4)) = 1$. Therefore

$$h^0(T\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes T^\vee_{\mathscr{F}}) = h^1(T\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3(-4)) = 1.$$

□

Example 8.2. *Consider a distribution $\mathscr{F}$ with $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$,$c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 3$, $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 5$ induced by*

$$\omega = (z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2)dz_3 - (z_3z_0 + z_2z_1)dz_0 + (z_2z_0 + z_3z_1)dz_1 - z_3z_2dz_2$$

The singular scheme of $\mathscr{F}$ is $\{[\pm i : \mp 1 : 0 : 0], [0 : 0 : 0 : 1]\}$.

8.2. **Distributions with singular set being a line and two points.**

Proposition 8.3. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution of degree 1 whose singular scheme is the union of a line with two points. Then its tangent sheaf is a stable reflexive sheaf with Chern classes $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2$, and $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ cannot be contained in a plane. In addition, $\mathscr{F}$ possess a unique subfoliation of degree 1 singular along a pair of skew lines (or its degeneration, a double line).*

Proof. In this case $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$,$c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2$ and the normalized sheaf $(T_{\mathscr{F}})_\eta = T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)$ satisfies $c_1((T_{\mathscr{F}})_\eta) = -1$, $c_2((T_{\mathscr{F}})_\eta) = c_3((T_{\mathscr{F}})_\eta) = 2$. It follows from [14, Table 2.6.1] that

$$h^0(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) = h^1((T_{\mathscr{F}})_\eta(-1)) = 0,$$

thus $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ cannot be contained in a plane.

Moreover, $h^0((T_{\mathscr{F}})_\eta(1)) = h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$, and the section of $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ vanishes along a pair of skew lines (or its degeneration, a double line). This section induces a codimension two subfoliation of degree one. □

Example 8.4. *Consider the non-integrable distribution $\mathscr{F}$ with $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$,$c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2$ induced by*

$$\omega = (z_0^2 + z_1^2)dz_3 - z_3(z_0dz_0 + z_1dz_1) + z_1(z_0dz_2 - z_2dz_0).$$

The singular scheme of $\mathscr{F}$ is $\{z_0 = z_1 = 0\} \cup \{2[0 : 0 : 1 : 0]\}$.

8.3. **Distributions whose singular set is a conic and a point.** In this case, the tangent sheaf must be a stable reflexive sheaf with $c_1(E) = c_2(E) = c_3(E) = 1$. Indeed, more is true.

Theorem 8.5. *Every stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf E with Chern classes $c_1(E) = c_2(E) = c_3(E) = 1$ is the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution on $\mathbb{P}^3$ whose singular set is the union of a reduced, but possibly reducible, conic with a point not contained in the same plane.*

Proof. Let E be a stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with Chern classes $c_1(E) = c_2(E) = c_3(E) = 1$. Its normalization is $E_\eta = E(-1) = E^\vee$, so that $c_1(E_\eta) = -1$ and $c_2(E_\eta) = c_3(E_\eta) = 1$.

The spectrum of such E_η is $\{-1\}$, see [27, Proposition 7.3]. By [27, Theorem 7.1] we have $h^2(E_\eta(-1)) = h^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) = 0$. By stability and Serre duality $h^3(E_\eta(-2)) = h^0(E_\eta^\vee(-2)) = h^0(E_\eta^\vee(-1)) = 0$. Since also $h^0(E_\eta) = 0$, we conclude that $h^1(E_\eta) = -\chi(E_\eta) = 0$, see [27, Theorem 2.3]. This shows that E_η is 1-regular, i.e. $E_\eta(1) = E$ is globally generated; in fact, since $h^0(E) = \chi(E) = 3$, we have that stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with Chern classes $c_1(E) = c_2(E) = c_3(E) = 1$ is given as the cokernel of a morphism:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\oplus 3} \to E \to 0. \tag{39}$$

It follows from Proposition 5.1 that $E^\vee(1) = [E(-1)](1) = E$ is the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution on $\mathbb{P}^3$.

We now check that C is reduced. If it is not, since $p_a(C) = 0$, it must be given by an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \to I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3} \to I_{\ell/\mathbb{P}^3} \to \mathcal{O}_{\ell/\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \to 0,$$

where $\ell := C_{\mathrm{red}}$. Passing to cohomology, we obtain

$$0 \to H^0(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}(2)) \to H^0(I_{\ell/\mathbb{P}^3}(2)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\ell/\mathbb{P}^3}(1)),$$

and it follows that $h^0(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}(2)) \geq h^0(I_{\ell/\mathbb{P}^3}(2)) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\ell/\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) = 5$. On the other hand, the exact sequence

$$0 \to E \to T\mathbb{P}^3 \to I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(3) \to 0$$

yields

$$H^0(E(-1)) \to H^0(T\mathbb{P}^3(-1)) \to H^0(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(2)) \to H^1(E(-1)).$$

Since $h^0(E(-1)) = h^1(E(-1)) = 0$, it follows that $h^0(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(2)) = h^0(T\mathbb{P}^3(-1)) = 4$, giving a contradiction.

Finally, to see that Z is not contained in a plane, simply note that

$$h^0(I_Z(1)) = h^1(E(-2)) = 0,$$

where the second equality is an immediate consequence of the exact sequence (39). □

Example 8.6. *A generic foliation $\mathscr{F}$ with $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$ is induced by*

$$\omega = (z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2)dz_3 - z_3(z_0dz_0 + z_1dz_1 + z_2dz_2).$$

The singular scheme of $\mathscr{F}$ is $\{z_3 = z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2 = 0\} \cup \{[0:0:0:1]\}$, see [33, Proposition 3.5.1].

Example 8.7. *Consider the non-integrable distribution $\mathscr{F}$ with $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$ induced by*

$$\omega = (z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2)dz_3 - z_3(z_0dz_0 + z_1dz_1 + z_2dz_2) + z_3(z_0dz_1 - z_1dz_0).$$

The singular scheme of $\mathscr{F}$ is $\{z_3 = z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2 = 0\} \cup \{[0:0:0:1]\}$.

The previous examples 8.6 and 8.6 show two different distributions with the same singular scheme. In this case, the tangent sheaves are not locally free; this is to be contrasted with [2], where the authors provide conditions for locally free distributions to be determined by their singular scheme.

Example 8.8. *Consider the non-integrable distribution $\mathscr{F}$ induced by*

$$\omega = z_0z_2dz_1 + z_2(z_1 - 2z_3)dz_0 + z_1z_2dz_3 + z_1(z_3 - 2z_0)dz_2.$$

The singular set of $\mathscr{F}$ is $\{z_1 = z_2 = 0\} \cup \{z_2 = z_3 - 2z_0 = 0\} \cup \{[0:0:1:0]\}$.

8.4. **Distributions with locally free tangent sheaf.** As an immediate consequence, we also obtain the following claim.

Corollary 8.9. *If $\mathscr{F}$ is a codimension one distribution of degree 1 with locally free tangent sheaf, then $T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$. In addition,* $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ *is a (possibly degenerate) twisted cubic curve not contained in a plane.*

In particular, these distributions are given by on homogeneous coordinates by a 1-form of the type

$$\omega = A_0(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)dz_0 + A_1(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)dz_1 + A_2(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)dz_2,$$

where A_j are homogeneous of degree 2 and $\sum_{i=0}^{2} z_iA_i = 0$.

Example 8.10. *Consider the non-integrable distribution $\mathscr{F}$ induced by the 1-form:*

$$\omega = z_0z_2dz_1 + z_2(z_3 - z_1)dz_0 - z_0z_3dz_2.$$

The singular set of $\mathscr{F}$ is $\{z_0 = z_2 = 0\} \cup \{z_0 = z_3 - z_1 = 0\} \cup \{z_2 = z_3 = 0\}$

9. Classification of degree 2 distributions

Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution of degree 2 on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with singular scheme Z; let $\mathscr{U}$ be the maximal 0-dimensional subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}$, and let $C \subset Z$ be the corresponding subscheme of pure dimension 1. The inequality (26) becomes $\deg(C) \leq 7$; using Theorem 3.2, we obtain

$$c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 6 - \deg(C) \quad \text{and} \quad c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 18 - 4\deg(C) + 2p_a(C). \tag{40}$$

Since $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 0$, $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is already normalized. It follows that:

(i) either $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) \neq 0$, hence, by Lemma 4.2, $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ splits as a sum of line bundles;
(ii) or $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-1)) = 0$, and $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a μ-semistable reflexive sheaf.

In the first case, $T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$, hence $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = -1$ and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 0$; it follows that $Z = C$ is a curve of degree 7 and arithmetic genus 5.

When $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is μ-semistable, we must have $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) \geq 0$. We now study each of six possibilities: $0 \leq c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) \leq 6$, or, equivalently, $0 \leq \deg(C) \leq 6$.

If $\deg(C) = 0$, so that $C = \emptyset$, it follows that $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 6$, $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 20$, and $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ consists of 20 points. In addition, Theorem 6.1 implies that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable.

If $\deg(C) = 1$, so that C is a line and hence $p_a(C) = 0$, it follows that $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 5$, $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 14$, hence $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ consists of a line plus 14 points. In addition, Proposition 6.3 implies that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable.

If $\deg(C) = 2$, C must be either a (possible reducible) conic, or a double line, hence $p_a(C) \leq 0$. Since $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is reflexive and comparing with the formulas in equation (40), it follows that $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 4$, and $0 \leq c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) \leq 10$. In addition, Proposition 6.3 implies that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable.

If $\deg(C) = 3$, C is either a plane cubic, in which case $p_a(C) = 1$, or a (possibly non-reduced) rational cubic, in which case $p_a(C) \leq 0$. Since $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is reflexive and comparing with the formulas in equation (40), it follows that $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 3$, and $0 \leq c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) \leq 8$.

When $\deg(C) = 4$, we first exclude the possibility of C being a plane quartic: in this case, $p_a(C) = 4$ then $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 8$ which is impossible for a μ-semistable rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 0$ and $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2$, cf. [27, Theorem 8.2(a)]. Hence C is not planar, and by [28, Theorem 3.3], $p_a(C) \leq 1$, hence $0 \leq c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) \leq 6$.

If $\deg(C) = 5$, then $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a μ-semistable rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$; it follows from [27, Theorem 8.2(a)] that $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 0, 2$.

Finally, if $\deg(C) = 6$, it follows that $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 0$ hence actually $T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$, since $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is μ-semistable; it follows that $Z = C$ is a curve of degree 6 and arithmetic genus 3.

We summarize in the results obtained above in Table 9.

$\deg(C)$	$c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}})$	$c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}})$	$T_{\mathscr{F}}$
0	6	**20**	stable
1	5	14	stable
2	4	0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10	stable
3	3	0, 2, 4, 6, **8**	μ-semistable
4	2	**0**, 2, **4**, 6	μ-semistable
5	1	**0**, **2**	μ-semistable
6	0	**0**	split
7	-1	**0**	split

TABLE 2. Codimension one distribution of degree 2; values in boldface are realized.

It is not clear to us whether there actually exists a codimension one distribution of degree 2 for each possible values of the second and third Chern classes. The pairs $(c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}), c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}})) = (3, 8)$, $(2, 4)$, and $(1, 2)$ are realized by well-known families of foliations, namely *rational* and *logarithmic* foliations. We will study them in detail in Section 10 below. For the moment, we observe that the tangent sheaves of codimension one distributions of degree 2 with invariants $(c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}), c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}})) = (3, 8)$, $(2, 4)$ are stable.

Lemma 9.1. *If $\mathscr{F}$ is a codimension one distribution of degree 2 such that $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 3$ and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 8$, then $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable, and C is a plane cubic curve.*

Proof. According to [27, Section 7], the only possible spectrum for μ-semistable rank 2 reflexive sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ with $c_1(\mathscr{E}) = 0$, $c_2(\mathscr{E}) = 3$, and $c_3(\mathscr{E}) = 8$ is $(-2,-1,-1)$. It follows that $h^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-4)) = 0$, hence $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-4)) - h^3(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-4)) = \chi(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-4)) = 8$. Since, by Serre duality (cf. [27, Theorem 2.5]) $h^3(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-4)) = h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}})$, we conclude that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable if and only if $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-4)) = 8$. The cohomology sequence associated with sequence (7) twisted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4)$ yields

(41)
$$H^1(T\mathbb{P}^3(-4)) = 0 \to H^1(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}) \to H^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-4)) \to H^2(T\mathbb{P}^3(-4)) \to H^2(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}) \to 0 = H^3(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-4)).$$

On the other hand, we have the sequence

$$0 \to I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3} \to I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3} \to \mathscr{U} \to 0, \tag{42}$$

where $\mathscr{U}$ is a 0-dimensional sheaf of length 8. It follows that $h^1(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}) = h^0(\mathscr{U}) = 8$. In addition, C is a curve of degree 3 and genus 1, hence it must be a plane cubic curve, cf. [28, Theorem 3.1], thus $h^2(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}) = h^2(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}) = 1$. It follows that $h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-4)) = 8$, hence $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is indeed stable. □

Lemma 9.2. *If $\mathscr{F}$ is a codimension one distribution of degree 2 such that $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2$ and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 4$, then $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable, and C is an arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay curve of degree 4 and genus 1.*

Proof. According to [14, Table 2.16.1], every properly μ-semistable rank 2 reflexive sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ with $c_1(\mathscr{E}) = 0$, $c_2(\mathscr{E}) = 4$, and $c_3(\mathscr{E}) = 4$ must have $h^2(\mathscr{E}(-4)) = 5$. Since the cohomology sequence (41) and the sheaf sequence (42) also applies in this case, we note that $h^1(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}) = h^0(\mathscr{U}) = 4$, so that $h^2(F(-4)) = 5$ if and only if $h^2(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}) = h^2(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}) = 0$.

However, C is a degree 4 curve of genus 1, thus it must be arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay [28, Proposition 3.5]; in particular, $h^1(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}) = 0$, hence $\chi(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}) = h^2(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}) - h^3(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}) = 1$, and $h^2(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}) \geq 1$. It follows that □

Example 9.3. *Consider the non-integrable distribution $\mathscr{F}$ induced by the 1-form*

$$\omega = (z_0^3 + z_1^3)dz_3 + (z_1^2 z_2 - z_0^2 z_3)dz_0 - z_3 z_1^2 dz_1 - z_0 z_1^2 dz_2.$$

As a variety, the singular set is just the line $\{z_0 = z_1 = 0\}$; however, note that the ideal generated by the coefficients of ω is contained in the ideal generated by the monomials z_0^2, z_1^2. This means that, in this case, the curve C is a line of multiplicity 4 with genus 1, so that $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2$ and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 4$.

Remark 9.4. *If $\mathscr{F}$ is a codimension one distribution of degree 2 such that $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$ and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2$, then $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ must be properly μ-semistable, because there are no stable rank 2 reflexive sheaves with these invariants* [14, Lemma 2.1]. *In addition, C is a degree 5 curve of genus 2.*

Let us now focus on codimension one distributions $\mathscr{F}$ of degree 2 with locally free tangent sheaf.

Theorem 9.5. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution of degree 2 with locally free tangent sheaf $T_{\mathscr{F}}$, and such that* $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ *reduced. Then:*

1. *$T_{\mathscr{F}}$ splits as a sum of line bundles and*
   - (a) *either $T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)$, and* $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ *is a connected curve of degree 7 and arithmetic genus 5.*
   - (b) *or $T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$, and* $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ *is a connected curve of degree 6 and arithmetic genus 3.*
2. *$T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable, and:*

(a) *either* $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ *is a null-correlation bundle, and* $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ *is a connected curve of degree* 5 *and arithmetic genus* 1*; in addition,* $\mathscr{F}$ *possess subfoliations of degree* 2 *which are singular along two skew lines;*

(b) *or* $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ *is an instanton bundle of charge* 2*, and* $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ *is the disjoint union of a line and a twisted cubic; in addition,* $\mathscr{F}$ *possess subfoliations of degree* 2 *which are singular along three skew lines.*

In addition, the result is effective, in the sense that there exist injective morphisms $\phi : T_{\mathscr{F}} \to T\mathbb{P}^3$ *with torsion free cokernel for each of the possibilities listed above.*

Proof. If $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ splits as a sum of line bundles, then only the two possibilities listed in the statement of the theorem occur. The degree and genus of the singular set are easily computed via formula (40).

If $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ does not split as a sum of line bundles, then, according to Table 9, we must have $1 \leq c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) \leq 4$.

If either $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 4$ or $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 3$, then $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ cannot be reduced: in the first case, $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ would be a degree 3 curve of genus -3, while in the second case $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ would be a degree 2 curve of genus -5, and neither can be reduced.

Next, suppose that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is properly μ-semistable with $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2$; it follows that

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)) = h^1(I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)) = h^2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(-5)) = h^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}(1)),$$

where the last equality is given by Serre duality. According to [14, Table 2.16.1], $h^1(T_{\mathscr{F}}(1)) = 2$, hence Z cannot be reduced. Therefore, we conclude if $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$ is reduced, then $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ must be stable.

Finally, we remark that every μ-semistable locally free sheaf with $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 0$ and $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$ is in fact stable, cf. [14, Lemma 2.1].

Since we have eliminated all other possibilities, it is now enough to establish the effectiveness part of the Theorem.

The existence of distributions of type (1a) or (1b) is clear, and the characterization of its singular loci is an easy calculation together with the application of Theorem 3.7.

Now let N be a null-correlation bundle; just setting $k = 1$ in Example 5.2, we obtain a distribution of the form

$$\mathcal{D} : 0 \to N \to T\mathbb{P}^3 \to I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(4) \to 0 \tag{43}$$

where Z is a curve of degree 5 and arithmetic genus 1. Since $h^2(\mathbb{P}^3, T_{\mathscr{F}}(-2-d)) = h^2(\mathbb{P}^3, N(-4)) = 0$, Theorem 3.7 allows us to conclude that Z is connected. Every section $\sigma \in H^0(N(1))$ vanishes along two skew lines, and hece it yields a subfoliation of degree 2 with the desired properties.

Finally, F be an instanton bundle of charge 2; recall that these are given as cohomologies of monads of the form

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}{}^{\oplus 6} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)^{\oplus 2};$$

see also [26, section 9]. we will now show that there exist injective morphisms $\phi : T_{\mathscr{F}} \to T\mathbb{P}^3$ whose cokernel is torsion free. First, note that twisting the Euler sequence by $F^\vee \simeq F$ and taking cohomology we get

$$H^0(F) = 0 \to H^0(F(1))^{\oplus 4} \to H^0(F \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3) \to H^1(F) \to 0 = H^1(F(1))^{\oplus 4},$$

so that

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}(F, T\mathbb{P}^3) = h^0(F \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3) = 4 \cdot h^0(F(1)) + h^1(F) = 10.$$

Since $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) = h^1(F) = 2$, there exist an indecomposable locally free sheaf $\widehat{F}$ fitting into the sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \to \widehat{F} \to F \to 0.$$

Now, applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$ to the previous sequence, we get

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(F, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\widehat{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) \stackrel{\psi}{\to} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) \to 0,$$

since $\mathrm{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) \simeq H^1(F(1)) = 0$. Consider $s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathrm{Hom}(\widehat{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$ such that $\psi(s_i) = z_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$, for $i = 0, \ldots, 3$. Define the morphism $\widehat{\rho} : \widehat{F} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)^{\oplus 4}$ by $\widehat{\rho} = (s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3)$ and $\rho = (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)$. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{F} \\ \| & & \downarrow \widehat{\rho} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)^{\oplus 4} \end{array}$$

Our first task is to show that $\widehat{\rho}$ is injective; indeed, consider, for each $i = 0, \ldots, 3$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} & = & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} & & \\ & & & & \downarrow s_i & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \to & T_{\mathscr{F}}(1) & \to & \widehat{\mathscr{F}^*}(1) & \to & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) & \to & 0 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \to & T_{\mathscr{F}}(1) & \to & \mathscr{G}_i & \to & \mathcal{O}_{P_i}(1) & \to & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

where $P_i = \{z_i = 0\}$. We get

$$0 \to \mathscr{G}_i^* \to F(-1) \to \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{O}_{P_i}(1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathscr{G}_i, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to 0;$$

we also conclude that $\mathcal{E}xt^p(\mathscr{G}_i, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) = 0$, for $p = 2, 3$, so that

$$\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{G}_i) = \mathrm{supp}\left(\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathscr{G}_i, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3})\right) = \{s_i = 0\}.$$

In addition, since

$$\mathrm{supp}\left(\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathscr{G}_i, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3})\right) \subset \mathrm{supp}\left(\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{O}_{P_i}(1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3})\right),$$

it follows that $\{s_i = 0\} \subset \{z_i = 0\}$, hence $\cap_{i=0}^3 \{s_i = 0\} \subset \cap_{i=0}^3 \{z_i = 0\} = \emptyset$, thus $\widehat{\rho}$ is injective.

Letting $K := \operatorname{coker}\widehat{\rho}$, we have the following diagram after applying the Snake Lemma:

(44)



with an induced injective morphism $\phi : F \to T\mathbb{P}^3$. It is now enough to argue that $\mathcal{K}$ is torsion free.

For a contradiction, assume that $\mathcal{K}$ does have torsion, and let $T(\mathcal{K})$ be the maximal torsion subsheaf of $\mathcal{K}$. The morphism $\phi : F \to T\mathbb{P}^3$ factors through a reflexive sheaf G, giving $\phi' : G \to T\mathbb{P}^3$ such that $\operatorname{coker}(\phi') = \mathcal{K}/T(\mathcal{K})$, and a exact sequence

$$0 \to F \xrightarrow{\sigma} G \to T(\mathcal{K}) \to 0.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{E}xt^p(T(\mathcal{K}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) = 0$ for $p = 2, 3$, thus $\operatorname{codim} T(\mathcal{K}) = 1$; let S denote the support of $T(\mathcal{K})$. This means that $\sigma : F \to G$ is a generically injective morphism which degenerates in expected codimension 1. Thus, by [20, Theorem A2.14, part a)], $T(\mathcal{K})$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_S$.

Since $\mathscr{F}$ has degree 2, its saturation

$$\mathscr{G} \ : \ 0 \to G \xrightarrow{\phi'} T\mathbb{P}^3 \to \mathcal{K}/T(\mathcal{K}) \to 0$$

is a codimension one distribution of degree 0 or 1; let us analyze each possibility.

If $\mathscr{G}$ has degree 0, then we must have $G = N(1)$ because $\mathscr{F}$ is not integrable. It follows that

$$h^0(T(\mathcal{K})) = h^0(N(1)) + h^1(F) = 5 + 2 = 7,$$

contradicting the fact that $T(\mathcal{K})$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_S$, since $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 S}) = 1$.

Finally, if $\mathscr{G}$ has degree 0, then, according our classification, we must have $G = N(1)$

If $\mathscr{G}$ has degree 1, then we G must be locally free, hence $\mathscr{G} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$. However, $h^0(F) = 0$, thus there can be no injective morphism $\sigma : F \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$.

d, since there is no In fact, all morphism $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) : T_{\mathscr{F}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$ satisfies $\sigma_1 = 0$, since $\sigma_1 \in H^0(T^*_{\mathscr{F}}) = H^0(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 0$.

Therefore, we conclude that $\operatorname{coker}(\phi) = \mathcal{K}$ is torsion free, thus $\phi : F \to T\mathbb{P}^3$ induces a holomorphic distribution $\mathscr{F}$ whose tangent sheaf is precisely the instanton bundle of charge 2. Its singular set is a curve C of degree 4 and arithmetic genus -1; from the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}) \to H^2(F(-4)) \to H^2(T\mathbb{P}^3(-4))$$

we conclude that $h^1(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}) = 1, 2$, thus C is not connected. A reduced curve of degree 4 with 4 or 3 connected components cannot have genus -1, thus either C is the union of two disjoint conics, or it is the disjoint union of a line and a twisted cubic. The first possibility is ruled out easily: in

this case $h^0(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}(2)) = 1$ (since C is contained in the union of two planes), but from the exact sequence

$$0 \to F(-2) \to T\mathbb{P}^3(-2) \to I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \to 0$$

we get that $h^0(I_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}(2)) = 0$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 9.5. □

10. The tangent sheaves of foliations

In this section we study properties of the tangent sheaves of two well known families of codimension one foliations on projective spaces, namely rational and logarithmic foliations.

10.1. **Tangent sheaves of rational foliations.** We denote by $\mathcal{R}(a,b)$, the set of codimension one foliations represented by 1-forms of the type

$$\omega = p\psi d\varphi - q\varphi d\psi \tag{45}$$

where $\psi \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(a))$ and $\varphi \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(b))$ $(1 \leq a \leq b)$ have no common factor, and (p,q) are the unique relatively prime integers such that $a\,p = b\,q$. Clearly, $\omega \in H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(a+b-2))$. The leaves are the fibres of the rational map $\varphi^p/\psi^q : \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. We also remark that $\mathscr{R}(a,b)$ can be regarded as the open subset of $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(a)) \times \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(b))$ consisting of those pairs (ψ,φ) with no common factors; in particular:

$$\dim \mathcal{R}(a,b) = \binom{a+3}{3} + \binom{a+3}{3} - 2.$$

Theorem 10.1. *Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{R}(a,b)$ be a rational foliation of degree $d = a+b-2$ on $\mathbb{P}^3$. Then:*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) & = & 2-d = 4-(a+b) \\ c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) & = & d^2+2-ab = a^2+b^2+ab-4(a+b)+6 \\ c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) & = & (a+b-2)^3+2(a+b-2)^2+2(1-ab)(a+b-2) \end{array}$$

In addition:

(i) *if $d = 0$, then $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$;*
(ii) *if $d \geq 1$, then $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable.*

We remark that the tangent of a rational foliation is locally free only when $d = 0$, since $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) \neq 0$ otherwise.

We will need the following elementary fact in the proof of the Theorem 10.1.

Remark 10.2. *Let $d_1, \ldots, d_r$ be positive integers such that $\sum_{i=1}^r d_i = d+2$. Then*

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} d_i d_j \leq \frac{r(r-1)}{2r^2}(d+2)^2.$$

In fact, apply the method of Lagrange multipliers for the function $f(x_1, \ldots, x_r) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} x_i x_j$ under the conditions $\sum_{i=1}^r x_i = d+2$ and $x_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

Proof. Let Z be the singular scheme of $\mathscr{F}$; let $\mathscr{U}$ be the maximal 0-dimensional subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}$, and let $C \subset Z$ be the corresponding subscheme of pure dimension 1. For rational foliations, C is complete intersection curve $\{\psi = \varphi = 0\}$, so that $\deg(C) = ab$ and $2p_a(C) - 2 = ab(a+b-4)$. The formulas for the Chern classes of the tangent sheaf now follow immediately from equation (13) of the Proposition 3.2.

According to Proposition 7.1 above, the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution of degree 0 on $\mathbb{P}^3$ either splits as a sum of line bundles, or is isomorphic to a null-correlation bundle. Since the latter is never integrable, the first item follows immediately.

When $d = 1$, Table 8 also guarantees that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable. The Chern classes of rational foliations of degree 2 are $(c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}), c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}})) = (3, 8)$, in the case $(a, b) = (1, 3)$, and $(c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}), c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}})) = (2, 4)$, in the case $a = b = 2$. The stability of the tangent sheaves were shown in Lemma 9.1 and Lemma 9.2, respectively.

Next, note that Remark 10.2 implies that

$$2\deg(C) \leq \frac{(d+2)^2}{2}.$$

Since $(d+2)^2/2 < d^2 + d < (d+1)^2$ when $d \geq 3$, Proposition 6.3 implies that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable in this range. □

10.2. **Tangent sheaf of logarithmic foliations.** We denote by $\mathcal{L}(d_1, \ldots, d_r)$ the set of codimension one foliations represented by 1-forms of the type

$$\omega = f_1 \cdots f_r \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i \frac{df_i}{f_i}$$

for some sections $f_i \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d_i))$ $(1 \leq d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_r)$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\sum d_i\lambda_i = 0$; we also assume that the section f_i have no common factors. It is clear that $\omega \in H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d_1 + \cdots + d_r))$. Observe that the case $r = 2$ reduces to the case of rational foliations, considered above; therefore from now on we assume that $r \geq 3$. We remark also, cf. [10]:

$$\dim \mathcal{L}(d_1, \ldots, d_r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{n + d_i}{d_i} - 2.$$

Let $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ be the tangent sheaf of a logarithmic foliation $\mathscr{F} \in \mathcal{L}(d_1, \ldots, d_r)$; its degree is $d = d_1 + \cdots + d_r - 2$. By [18, Theorem 3], its Chern classes are

$$c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2 - d, \quad c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = d^2 + 2 - \sum_{i<j} d_i d_j, \quad c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = N(3, d)$$

where

$$N(3, d) = \text{cefficient of } h^3 \text{ in } \frac{(1-h)^4}{(1-d_1h)\cdots(1-d_rh)}$$

It follows from the equation (13) that

$$N(3, d) = d^3 + 2d^2 + 2d - (3d - 2)\sum_{i<j} d_1 d_j + 2p_a(C) - 2.$$

One can then compute the arithmetic genus $p_a(C)$ by comparing these last two expressions for $N(3, d)$.

Theorem 10.3. *Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathscr{L}(d_1, \ldots, d_r)$ be a logarithmic foliation of degree d on $\mathbb{P}^3$,*

(i) *If $d = 1$, then $T_{\mathscr{F}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$.*

(ii) *If $d = 2$, then*
- *either $\mathscr{F} \in \mathcal{L}(1, 1, 1, 1)$, in which case $T_{\mathscr{F}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$;*
- *or $\mathscr{F} \in \mathcal{L}(1, 1, 2)$, in which case $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a properly μ-semistable reflexive sheaf with Chern classes $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 0$, $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$, and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2$.*

(iii) *For $d > 2$, $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable provided*

- $d > 3r-2$ *when* d *is even;*
- $d > 2r-2$ *when* d *is odd.*

Proof. When $d=1$, the only possibility is to have $\mathscr{F} \in \mathcal{L}(1,1,1)$; using the formulas for the Chern classes of $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ given above, we obtain $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}})=1$, $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}})=0$, and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}})=0$. Comparing with Table 8, we conclude that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ splits as a sum of line bundles, and the first item follows immediately.

Suppose that $d=2$. If $\mathscr{F} \in \mathcal{L}(1,1,1,1)$, then the Chern classes of $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ are $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 0$, hence clearly $T_{\mathscr{F}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$.

The second possibility is $\mathscr{F} \in \mathcal{L}(1,1,2)$, in which case we obtain $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}})=0$, $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}})=1$, and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}})=2$; the fact that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ must be properly μ-semistable was observed in Remark 9.4.

Finally, suppose that $d \geq 2$. It follows from Remark 10.2 the degree of the singular curve is bounded by

$$2\deg(C) = 2\sum_{i<j} d_i d_j \leq \frac{r(r-1)}{r^2}(d+2)^2 = \left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)(d+2)^2.$$

If d is even and larger than $3r-2$, then the last term in the previous inequality is bounded above by d^2+d, hence it follows from Proposition 6.3 that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable. If d is odd and larger than $2r-2$, then the last term in the previous inequality is bounded above by $(d+1)^2$, and again Proposition 6.3 allows us to conclude that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable. □

Component	$c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}})$	$c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}})$	$c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}})$	Tangent sheaf is
$\mathcal{R}(1,1)$	2	1	0	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$
$\mathcal{L}(1,1,1)$	1	1	0	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$
$\mathcal{R}(1,2)$	1	1	1	stable
$\mathcal{L}(1,1,1,1)$	0	0	0	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$
$\mathcal{L}(1,1,2)$	0	1	2	properly μ-semistable
$\mathcal{R}(2,2)$	0	2	4	stable
$\mathcal{R}(1,3)$	0	3	8	stable

TABLE 3. Logarithmic and rational components of small degree.

11. Descriptions of moduli spaces of distributions

In this section, we provide explicit descriptions of certain moduli spaces of codimension one distributions $\mathscr{F}$ of degree at most two. We denote the moduli space $\mathcal{D}^P$ with

$$P := \frac{1}{3}(t+3)(t+2)(t+1) + \frac{1}{2}(t+2)(t+1)(2-d) - (t+2)c + \frac{1}{2}(l+(d-2)c)$$

by $\mathcal{D}(d,c,l)$; note that d is the degree of $\mathscr{F}$, $c = c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}})$ and $l = c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}})$. Similarly, $\mathcal{D}^{st}(d,c,l) := \mathcal{D}^{P,st}$

Our main tool is the forgetful morphism described in Lemma 2.5

$$\varpi : \mathcal{D}^{st}(d,c,l) \to \mathcal{M}(2-d,c,l) \quad , \quad \varpi([\mathscr{F}]) := [T_{\mathscr{F}}] \tag{46}$$

where $\mathcal{M}(2-d,c,l)$ denotes the moduli space of stable rank 2 reflexive sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with Chern classes $(c_1,c_2,c_3) = (2-d,c,l)$. We will check that, for certain particular values of the invariants (d,c,l), the forgetful morphism ϖ is surjective, and that $\mathcal{M}(2-d,c,l)$ is an irreducible, rational, nonsingular quasi-projective variety; if, in addition, the dimension of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{E}, T\mathbb{P}^3)$ is constant for

every $[\mathscr{E}] \in \mathcal{M}(2-d,c,l)$, then one can conclude that $\mathcal{D}(d,c,l)$ is also irreducible, nonsingular, and is a $\mathbb{P}\operatorname{Hom}(\mathscr{E}, T\mathbb{P}^3)$-bundle over the rational base $\mathcal{M}(2-d,c,l)$.

11.1. **Null-correlation distributions.** A *null-correlation distribution* $\mathscr{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^3$ is a codimension one distribution whose tangent sheaf is isomorphic to a null-correlation bundle up to twist. To be more precise, assume that $T_{\mathscr{F}} \simeq N(-a)$ for some null-correlation bundle N; one can check that $\operatorname{Hom}(N(-a), T\mathbb{P}^3) = H^0(N \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3(a)) = 0$ for $a \leq -2$; on the other hand, according to Example 5.2, such distributions do exist for each $a \geq -1$.

In fact, the moduli space of null-correlation distributions of the form

$$\mathscr{F} \ : \ o \to N(-a) \to T\mathbb{P}^3 \to I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(4+2a) \to 0$$

is precisely $\mathcal{D}^{st}(2+2a, 1+a^2, 0)$. Indeed, note that $c_2(N(-a)) = -2a$ and $c_2(N(-a)) = 1+a^2$, meaning that $[\mathscr{F}] \in \mathcal{D}^{st}(2+2a, 1+a^2, 0)$. Conversely, if $[\mathscr{F}] \in \mathcal{D}^{st}(2+2a, 1+a^2, 0)$, then $T_{\mathscr{F}}(a)$ is a stable rank 2 locally free sheaf with $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}(a)) = 0$ and $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}(a)) = 1$, hence $T_{\mathscr{F}}(a)$ is a null-correlation bundle.

Proposition 11.1. *$\mathcal{D}^{st}(2+2a, 1+a^2, 0)$ is an irreducible, nonsingular quasi-projective fibration by projective spaces and has dimension*

$$\dim \mathcal{N}(a) = 8\binom{a+4}{3} - 2\binom{a+3}{3} - 3a - 6.$$

Proof. In fact, there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(-2a, 1+a^2, 0) \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(0,1,0)$ given by twisting by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(a)$, and recall that $\mathcal{M}(0,1,0)$ is precisely the complement of a quadric in $\mathbb{P}^5$. The fibers of the map

$$\varpi : \mathcal{N}(a) \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(-2a, 1+a^2, 0) \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(0,1,0) \quad , \quad \varpi([\mathscr{F}]) := [T_{\mathscr{F}}(a)]$$

are $\mathbb{P}H^0(N \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3(a))$. To compute its dimension, we twist the Euler sequence by $N(a)$ and take cohomology, obtaining

$$0 \to H^0(N(a)) \to H^0(N(a+1))^{\oplus 4} \to H^0(N \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3(a)) \to H^1(N(a)) \to H^1(N(a+1))^{\oplus 4} = 0$$

for all $a \geq -1$.

If $a = -1$, then $h^0(N \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3(-1)) = h^1(N(-1)) = 1$, and we conclude that $\mathcal{D}(0,2,0) \simeq \mathcal{M}(0,1,0)$.

If $a \geq 0$, then $h^0(N \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3(a)) = 4h^0(N(a+1)) - h^0(N(a))$, and the dimension formula follows from $h^0(N(k)) = 2\binom{k+3}{3} - (k+2)$. □

We observe that $\mathcal{D}^{st}(2+2a, 1+a^2, 0) = \mathcal{D}(2+2a, 1+a^2, 0)$ for $a = -1, 0$. However, this is certainly false when a is sufficiently large: as it was pointed out in Example 6.2, there exist a distribution in $\mathcal{D}(14, 37, 0)$, ie. for $a = 6$, whose tangent sheaf is not μ-semistable.

11.2. **Distributions whose singular set is a conic and a point.** Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution on $\mathbb{P}^3$ whose singular set consists of a (possibly reducible or non-reduced) conic plus a point. As pointed out in Table 8, the tangent sheaf $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a stable reflexive sheaf with $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$. Thus we obtain the forgetful morphism

$$\varpi : \mathcal{D}(1,1,1) \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(1,1,1) \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(-1,1,1), \tag{47}$$

where the last isomorphism is given by twisting by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)$.

Proposition 11.2. *$\mathcal{D}(1,1,1)$ is an irreducible, nonsingular quasi-projective $\mathbb{P}^{11}$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^3$.*

Proof. By Theorem 8.5, the map ϖ in (47) is surjective; the fibers $\varpi^{-1}(\mathscr{E})$ are open subsets of $\mathbb{P}\operatorname{Hom}(\mathscr{E}, T\mathbb{P}^3) = \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathscr{E} \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3(-1))$. Twisting the sequence (39) by $T\mathbb{P}^3(-1)$ and passing to cohomology we have that $h^0(\mathscr{E} \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3(-1)) = 3 \cdot h^0(T\mathbb{P}^3(-1)) = 12$. Since $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(-1,1,1) \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$ (each $\mathscr{E}$ is determined by its singular point), we conclude that $\mathcal{D}(1,1,1)$ is $\mathbb{P}^{11}$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^3$. □

We also remark that $\mathcal{D}(1,1,1)$ contains the variety of rational foliations $\mathcal{R}(1,2)$ as a subset of codimension 2.

11.3. **Degree one distributions with isolated singularities.** Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with only isolated singularities. As it was pointed out in Theorem 8.1, the tangent sheaf $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a stable reflexive sheaf with Chern classes $c_1(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$, $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 3$, and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 5$. In addition, $T_{\mathscr{F}}(1)$ is globally generated, and $h^0(T\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes T^{\vee}_{\mathscr{F}}) = 1$, so that the forgetful morphism

$$\varpi : \mathcal{D}(1,3,5) \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(1,3,5) \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(-1,3,5)$$

is bijective to an open subset of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(-1,3,5)$, cf. [14, Theorem 3.14]; the last isomorphism is given by twisting by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)$.

Since $\mathcal{D}(1,0,5)$ is a Zariski open subset of $\mathbb{P}H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3))$ and $\dim \mathbb{P}H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3)) = 19$, this provides a new proof for the unirationality of $\mathcal{M}(-1,3,5)$, cf. [14, Theorem 3.14].

11.4. **Distributions induced by charge 2 instantons.** Let $[\mathscr{F}] \in \mathcal{D}^{st}(2,2,0)$, so that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a stable rank 2 bundle with $c_2 = 2$; it follows that $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is isomorphic to an instanton bundle of charge 2. Conversely, as it was established in the proof of Theorem 9.5, every rank 2 instanton bundle is the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution $[\mathscr{F}] \in \mathcal{D}^{st}(2,2,0)$. In other words, the forgetful morphism

$$\varpi : \mathcal{D}^{st}(2,2,0) \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(0,2,0)$$

is surjective.

Proposition 11.3. *$\mathcal{D}^{st}(2,2,0)$ is an irreducible, nonsingular quasi-projective $\mathbb{P}^9$-bundle over a nonsingular quasi-projective variety of dimension* 13.

Proof. Twisting the Euler sequence by a charge 2 instanton bundle $\mathscr{E}$ we obtain

$$0 \to H^0(\mathscr{E}(1)^{\oplus 4}) \to H^0(\mathscr{E} \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3) \to H^1(\mathscr{E}) \to 0,$$

since $h^0(\mathscr{E}) = h^1(\mathscr{E}) = 0$; it follows that

$$h^0(\mathscr{E} \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3) = 4h^0(\mathscr{E}) + h^1(\mathscr{E}) = 10.$$

The claim now follows easily from the fact that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(0,2,0)$ is an irreducible, rational, nonsingular quasi-projective variety of dimension 13 [26, Section 9]. □

We observe that it is not clear to us whether $\mathcal{D}(2,2,0) = \mathcal{D}^{st}(2,2,0)$, that is whether there exists a codimension one distribution $\mathscr{F}$ of degree 2 whose tangent sheaf is a properly μ-semistable locally free sheaf with $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2$.

11.5. **Space of distributions containing $\mathcal{R}(2,2)$.** Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution on $\mathbb{P}^3$ of degree 2 such that $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 2$ and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 4$. By Lemma 9.2, $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable. We explore this fact in our next result.

Proposition 11.4. *$\mathcal{D}(2,2,4)$ is an irreducible, nonsingular quasi-projective $\mathbb{P}^{15}$-bundle over a nonsingular quasi-projective variety of dimension* 13.

Proof. Every stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with $c_1(\mathscr{E}) = 0$, $c_2(\mathscr{E}) = 2$ and $c_3(\mathscr{E}) = 4$ has a resolution of the form, cf. [14, Lemma 2.9]:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}{}^{\oplus 4} \to \mathscr{E}(1) \to 0.$$

In particular, $\mathscr{E}(1)$ is globally generated, hence it follows from Proposition 5.1 that $\mathscr{E}$ is the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution. In other words, the forgetful morphism

$$\varpi : \mathcal{D}(2,2,4) \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(0,2,4)$$

is surjective. Recall also that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(0,2,4)$ is an irreducible, rational, nonsingular quasi-projective variety of dimension 13, see [14, Theorem 2.12].

Twist the resolution of $\mathscr{E}(1)$ above by $T\mathbb{P}^3(-1)$ and pass to cohomology to obtain

$$H^0(T\mathbb{P}^3(-2))^{\oplus 2} = 0 \to H^0(T\mathbb{P}^3(-1))^{\oplus 4} \to H^0(T_{\mathscr{F}} \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3) \to 0 = H^1(T\mathbb{P}^3(-2))^{\oplus 2}.$$

It follows that $h^0(T_{\mathscr{F}} \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3) = 4 \cdot h^0(T\mathbb{P}^3(-1)) = 16$. □

We also remark that $\mathcal{D}(2,2,4)$ contains the variety of rational foliations $\mathcal{R}(2,2)$ as a subset of codimension 10.

11.6. **Space of distributions containing $\mathcal{R}(1,3)$.** Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a codimension one distribution on $\mathbb{P}^3$ of degree 2 such that $c_2(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 3$ and $c_3(T_{\mathscr{F}}) = 8$. By Lemma 9.1, $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ is stable, and every stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ with $c_1(\mathscr{E}) = 0$, $c_2(\mathscr{E}) = 3$ and $c_3(\mathscr{E}) = 8$ has a resolution of the form, cf. [14, proof of Theorem 3.9]

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}{}^{\oplus 3} \to \mathscr{E}(1) \to 0. \tag{48}$$

In particular, $\mathscr{E}(1)$ is globally generated, hence it follows from Proposition 5.1 that every such sheaf is the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution. This means that the morphism

$$\varpi : \mathcal{D}(2,3,8) \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(0,3,8)$$

is surjective.

Proposition 11.5. *$\mathcal{D}(2,3,8)$ is an irreducible, nonsingular quasi-projective $\mathbb{P}^{11}$-bundle over a nonsingular quasi-projective variety of dimension 21.*

Proof. To complete the proof, twist sequence (48) by $T\mathbb{P}^3(-1)$ and pass to cohomology to obtain

$$H^0(T\mathbb{P}^3(-3)) = 0 \to H^0(T\mathbb{P}^3(-1))^{\oplus 3} \to H^0(\mathscr{E} \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3) \to 0 = H^1(T\mathbb{P}^3(-3)).$$

It follows that

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{E}, T\mathbb{P}^3) = 3 \cdot h^0(T\mathbb{P}^3(-1)) = 12.$$

The claim follows now immediately from the fact that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{st}}(0,3,8)$ is an irreducible, rational, nonsingular quasi-projective variety of dimension 21 [14, Theorem 3.9]. □

We also remark that $\mathcal{D}(2,3,8)$ contains the variety of rational foliations $\mathcal{R}(1,3)$ as a subset of codimension 10.

Appendix: A Bertini type Theorem for reflexive sheaves

Let X be a projective manifold of dimension n; let $\mathscr{G}$ be a coherent sheaf on X, and let $\mathscr{T}$ be a locally free sheaf on X. Set $g := \mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{G})$ and $t := \mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{T})$; assume that $g < t$. The *degeneration locus* of a morphism of sheaves $\phi : \mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{T}$ is defined by

$$D(\phi) := \mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{coker}(\phi^\vee)).$$

Our next result is a generalization of a *Bertini-type theorem* due to Ottaviani, cf. [40, Teorema 2.8].

Lemma 11.6. *If $\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T}$ is globally generated, then, for a generic morphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{G}, \mathscr{T})$, the following holds:*

$$\mathrm{codim}_X(D(\phi)) \leq min\{t - g + 1, \mathrm{codim}_X \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{G}^\vee)\}.$$

Proof. Let $U := X \setminus \mathrm{Sing}((\mathscr{G}^\vee))$. Note that $(\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})_{|U}$ is locally free and globally generated , since $\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T}$ is globally generated by hypothesis.

Let $V((\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})_{|U})$ the total space of $(\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})_{|U}$ as a vector bundle over U; we have a projection $\pi : V((\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})_{|U}) \to U$, whose fibers can be identified with the space of $g \times t$ matrices. There exist a subvariety $\Sigma \subset V((\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})_{|U})$ equipped with a projection $\pi' : \Sigma \to U$ and whose fibres are given by the subspaces of $g \times t$ matrices of rank is at most $g - 1$. Note that $\mathrm{codim}_U(\Sigma) = t - g + 1$.

Since $(\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})_{|U}$ is globally generated, we have the sequence:

$$H^0((\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})_{|U}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_U \to (\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})_{|U} \to 0$$

Since $\mathscr{G}^\vee$ is reflexive, $\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T}$ is also reflexive, hence $H^0((\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})_{|U}) \simeq H^0(\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})$. Therefore, we obtain a submersion

$$p : U \times H^0(\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T}) \to V((\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})_{|U}).$$

Let $\Sigma' = p^{-1}(\Sigma)$ and observe that

$$\Sigma' = \{(x, \phi);\ \mathrm{rk}(\phi(x)) \leq g - 1\}.$$

Thus, we have a projection $q : \Sigma' \to H^0(\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})$, where

$$q^{-1}(\phi) = \{x \in U;\ \mathrm{rk}(\phi(x)) \leq g - 1\} \simeq D(\phi) \cap U.$$

Note that $\mathrm{codim}_{U \times H^0(\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})}(\Sigma') = (t - g + 1) + h$, where $h = \dim H^0(\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})$. There are now two possibilities:

(i) if the image of q is not dense in $H^0(\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})$, then $D(\phi) \cap U = \emptyset$, or equivalently, $D(\phi) \subset \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{G}^\vee)$, for generic ϕ.
(ii) if the image of q is dense in $H^0(\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})$, then a for generic ϕ we have that Σ' is transversal to $q^{-1}(\phi)$, so that $\mathrm{codim}_U(D(\phi) \cap U) = t - g + 1$.

Since $D(\phi) = (D(\phi) \cap U) \cup (D(\phi) \cap \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{G}^\vee))$, the result follows. □

Lemma 11.7. *Assume that $\mathscr{G}$ is reflexive and that ϕ is injective. If $\mathrm{codim}_X(D(\phi)) \geq 2$, then $\mathrm{coker}(\phi)$ is torsion free.*

Proof. Let $\mathscr{N} := \mathrm{coker}(\phi)$. Dualizing the sequence $0 \to \mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{T} \to \mathscr{N} \to 0$, we obtain

(i) $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathscr{N}, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \mathrm{coker}(\phi^\vee)$, so that $\mathrm{codim}_X(\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathscr{N}, \mathcal{O}_X))) \geq 2$ by hypothesis;
(ii) $\mathcal{E}xt^p(\mathscr{N}, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \mathcal{E}xt^{p-1}(\mathscr{G}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ for $2 \leq p \leq n - 1$, thus $\mathrm{codim}_X(\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{E}xt^p(\mathscr{N}, \mathcal{O}_X))) \geq p + 1$ since $\mathscr{G}$ is reflexive;
(iii) $\mathcal{E}xt^n(\mathscr{N}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 X}) = 0$.

The desired conclusion follows from [30, Proposition 1.1.10] □

We apply the previous Lemmas to construct distributions on X.

Theorem 11.8. *Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a globally generated reflexive sheaf on a projective variety X such that $\mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{G}) \leq \dim X - 1 \geq 2$. If $TX \otimes L$ is globally generated, for some line bundle L, then $\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes L^\vee$ is the tangent sheaf of a codimension distribution on X.*

Proof. Since $\mathscr{G}$ and $TX \otimes L$ are globally generated, then so is

$$\mathscr{G} \otimes TX \otimes L \simeq (\mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes L^\vee)^\vee \otimes TX.$$

By Lemma 11.6 a generic morphism $\phi : \mathscr{G}^\vee \otimes L^\vee \to TX$ satisfies $\mathrm{codim}_X(D(\phi)) = \min\{n-g+1, 3\} \geq 2$, since $\mathscr{G}$ is reflexive. Lemma 11.7 implies that $\mathrm{coker}(\phi)$ is torsion free. □

Further specializing to the case $X = \mathbb{P}^3$ and $\mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{G}) = 2$, we obtain the following statement, used throughout the main text.

Corollary 11.9. *Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a globally generated rank 2 reflexive sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^3$. Then $\mathscr{G}^\vee(1)$ is the tangent sheaf of a codimension one distribution $\mathscr{F}$ of degree $c_1(\mathscr{G})$ with $c_2(T_\mathscr{F}) = c_2(\mathscr{G}) - c_1(\mathscr{G}) + 1$, and $c_3(T_\mathscr{F}) = c_3(\mathscr{G})$.*

Proof. If $\mathscr{G}$ is a globally generated rank 2 reflexive sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^3$, then $\mathscr{G} \otimes T\mathbb{P}^3(-1)$ is also globally generated, since $T\mathbb{P}^3(-1)$ is globally generated. Now, apply the Theorem 11.8 with $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)$ to obtain the desired codimension one distribution:

$$\mathscr{F} \ : \ 0 \to \mathscr{G}^\vee(1) \to T\mathbb{P}^3 \to I_{Z/\mathbb{P}^3}(d+2) \to 0,$$

where $d = 2 - c_1(\mathscr{G}^\vee(1)) = c_1(\mathscr{G})$. In addition, note that $c_2(\mathscr{G}^\vee(1)) = c_2(\mathscr{G}) - c_1(\mathscr{G}) + 1$, and $c_3(\mathscr{G}^\vee(1)) = c_3(\mathscr{G})$ □

References

[1] C. Araujo, S. Druel, *On Fano foliations.* Adv. Math. **238** (2013), 70–118.

[2] C. Araujo, M. Corrêa, *On degeneracy scheme of maps of vector bundles and application to holomorphic foliations.* Math. Z. **276** (2013), 505–515.

[3] C. Banica, N. Manolache, *Rank 2 stable vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$ with Chern classes $c_1 = -1, c_2 = 4$.* Math. Z. **190** (1985), 315–339.

[4] P. Baum, R. Bott, *Singularities of holomorphic foliations.* J. Differential Geom. **7** (1972), 279–342.

[5] F. Bogomolov, M. McQuillan, *Rational Curves on Foliated Varieties.* Preprint IHES M/01/07 (2001).

[6] J-P. Brasselet, M. Corrêa, F. Lourenço, *Residues for flags of holomorphic foliations.* Preprint arXiv:1602.09136

[7] D. Cerveau, *Quelques problèmes en géométrie feuilleté pour les 60 annés de l'IMPA.* Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **44** (2013), 653–679.

[8] O. Calvo-Andrade, M. Corrêa, A. Fernández. *On non–Kupka points of codimension one foliations on $\mathbb{P}^3$.* To appear in An. Acad. Brasil. Cienc. (2016).

[9] O. Calvo-Andrade, D. Cerveau, L. Giraldo, A. Lins, *Irreducible components of the space of foliations associated with the Affine Lie Algebra.* Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **24** (2004) 987–1014.

[10] O. Calvo-Andrade, *Irreducible components of the space of holomorphic foliations*, Math. Ann. **29** (1994), 751–767.

[11] O. Calvo-Andrade, M. Soares, *Chern numbers of a Kupka component.* Ann. Inst. Fourier **44** (1994), 1219–1236.

[12] D. Cerveau, A. Lins Neto, *Irreducible components of the space of holomorphic foliations of degree two in $\mathbb{CP}(n)$.* Annals of Math. **143** (1996), 577–612.

[13] D. Cerveau, A. Lins Neto. *Codimension-one foliations in $\mathbb{CP}^n$, $n \geq 3$, with Kupka components.* Astérisque **222** (1994), 93–132.

[14] M. C. Chang, *Stable rank 2 reflexive sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with small c_2 and applications.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **284** (1984), 57–84

[15] L. Chiodera, Ph. Ellia, *Rank two globally generated vector bundles with* $c_1 \leq 5$. Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste **44** (2012), 413–422.

[16] M. Corrêa, M. Jardim, R. Vidal Martins, *On the Singular scheme of split foliations.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **64** (2015), 1359–1381.

[17] L. Costa, R. M. Miró-Roig, *Monads and regularity of vector bundles on projective varieties.* Michigan Math. J. **55** (2007), 417–436.

[18] F. Cukierman, M. Soares, I. Vainsencher, *Singularities of logarithmic foliations.* Compositio Math. **142** (2006), 131–142.

[19] L. Ein, *Generalized null correlation bundles.* Nagoya Math. J. **111** (1988), 13–24.

[20] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a View Towards Algebraic Geometry.* GTM 150, Springer-Verlag (1995).

[21] E. Esteves, S. Kleiman, *Bounding solutions of Pfaff equations.* Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), 3771–3793.

[22] H. Flenner, *Divisorenklassengruppen quasihomogener Singularitäten.* J. Reine Angew. Math. **328** (1981), 128–160.

[23] L. Giraldo, A. J. Pan-Collantes, *On the singular scheme of codimension one holomorphic foliations in* $\mathbb{P}^3$. Internat. J. Math. **7** (2010), 843–858.

[24] X. Gomez-Mont, *Integrals for holomorphic foliations with singularities having all leaves compact.* Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **39** (1989), 451–458.

[25] T. Graber, J. Harris, J. Starr *Families of rationally connected varieties.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2002), 57–67.

[26] R. Hartshorne, *Stable vector bundles of rank* 2 *on* $\mathbb{P}^3$. Math. Ann. **238** (1978), 229–280.

[27] R. Hartshorne, *Stable reflexive sheaves.* Math. Ann. **254** (1980), 121–176.

[28] R. Hartshorne, *The genus of space curves.* Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII **40** (1994), 207–223.

[29] R. Hartshorne, I. Sols, *Stable Rank* 2 *Vector Bundles on* $\mathbb{P}^3$ *with* $c_1 = -1, c_2 = 2$. J. Reine Angew. Math. **325** (1981), 145–152.

[30] D. Huybrechts, M. Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, 2nd edition. Cambridge University Press (2010).

[31] V. A. Iskovskikh, *Fano threefolds I.* Math. USSR-Izv. **11** (1977) 485–527.

[32] V. A. Iskovskikh, *Fano threefolds II.* Math. USSR-Izv. **12** (1978), 469–506.

[33] J-P. Jouanoulou, *Equations de Pfaff algébriques.* LNM **708**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, (1979).

[34] I. Kupka, *Singularities of structurally stable Pfaffian forms.* Proc. Nat. Acad. of Sc. USA **52** (1964), 1431–1432.

[35] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in Algebraic Geometry I and II.* Ergebnisse der Mathematik 48 - 49, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[36] F. Loray, J. V. Pereira, F. Touzet, *Singular foliations with trivial canonical class.* Preprint arXiv:1107.1538.

[37] B. Malgrange, *Frobenius avec singularitès I. Codimension un.* Publ. Math. IHES. No. **46** (1976), 163–173.

[38] Y. Miyaoka, *Deformations of a morphism along a foliation and applications.* Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 46, Part 1. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1987) 245–268.

[39] S. Mukai: *Fano* 3*-folds.* London Math. L. Notes 179, 255-263 (1992)

[40] G. Ottaviani, *Varietá proiettive de codimension picola.* Quaderni INDAM, Aracne, Roma, (1995).

[41] Ch. Okonek, M. Schneider, H. Spindler, *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces.* Progress in Math. **3**, Birkhauser (1978).

[42] A. Polishchuk, *Algebraic geometry of Poisson brackets.* J. Math. Sci. **84** (1997), 1413–1444.

[43] F. Quallbrunn, *Families of distributions and Pfaff systems under duality.* J. Singul. **11** (2015), 164–189.

[44] F. Sancho de Salas, *Number of singularities of a foliation on* $\mathbb{P}^n$. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 69–72.

[45] M. G. Soares, *Holomorphic Foliations and Characteristic Numbers.* Commun. Contemp. Math. **7** (2005), 583–596.

[46] I. Vainsencher, *Foliations singular along a curve.* Trans. London Math. Soc. **2** (2015), 80–92.